arXiv:1409.1874v2 [math.CO] 1 Sep 2016

Monochromatic cycle partitions of graphs with large minimum degree

Louis DeBiasio*† Luke Nelsen*

September 2, 2016

Abstract

Lehel conjectured that in every 2-coloring of the edges of K_n, there is a vertex disjoint red and blue cycle which span $V(K_n)$. Łuczak, Rödl, and Szemerédi proved Lehel's conjecture for large n, Allen gave a different proof for large n, and finally Bessy and Thomassé gave a proof for all n.

Balogh, Barát, Gerbner, Gyárfás, and Sárközy proposed a significant strengthening of Lehel's conjecture where K_n is replaced by any graph G with $\delta(G) > 3n/4$; if true, this minimum degree condition is essentially best possible. We prove that their conjecture holds when $\delta(G) > (3/4 + o(1))n$. Our proof uses Szemerédi's regularity lemma along with the absorbing method of Rödl, Ruciński, and Szemerédi by first showing that the graph can be covered with monochromatic subgraphs having certain robust expansion properties.

1 Introduction

For the purposes of this paper, we consider the empty set, a single vertex, and an edge as cycles on 0, 1, and 2 vertices respectively. By an r-coloring of a graph G, we mean a partition of its edge set into at most r parts (i.e. exactly r parts, some of which may be empty). Given an r-colored graph G, a partition of G into monochromatic cycles is a collection of vertex disjoint monochromatic cycles which together span $V(G)$. We denote a path or cycle on k vertices by P^k and C^k respectively (subscripts will be reserved for colors).

In 1967, Gerencsér and Gyárfás [12] exactly determined the Ramsey number for all pairs of paths. In the symmetric case (when the paths have the same length), the result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (Gerencsér, Gyárfás)**.** *Every 2-coloring of K_n contains a monochromatic P^k with $k > 2n/3$.*

In 1973, Rosta [27] and independently, Faudree and Schelp [11] exactly determined the Ramsey number for all pairs of cycles, which gave an analog of Theorem 1.1 for cycles. Later, this was slightly refined by Faudree, Lesniak, and Schiermeyer [10] to give the following best possible result about long monochromatic cycles.

*Department of Mathematics, Miami University; Oxford, OH 45056
debiasld@miamioh.edu, nelsenll@miamioh.edu

†Research supported in part by Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant # 283194.

Theorem 1.2 (Faudree, Lesniak, Schiermeyer). *For $n \geq 6$, every 2-coloring of K_n contains a monochromatic C^k with $k \geq 2n/3$.*

In [12], Gerencsér and Gyárfás wrote a small, but historically influential, footnote which contained the seed of a new "Ramsey-type" partitioning problem. In the footnote was a simple proof that every 2-coloring of K_n has a cycle on n vertices which is the union of a blue path and a red path (which in turn contains a monochromatic $P^{\lceil n/2 \rceil}$). In a 2-colored K_n, a cycle on n vertices which is the union of a blue path and a red path immediately gives a partition of K_n into two monochromatic paths; from this one can easily deduce that K_n has a partition into a vertex disjoint monochromatic cycle and path of different colors. Later, Lehel (see [2] and [9]) conjectured that every 2-coloring of K_n has a partition into a red cycle and blue cycle (note the requirement that the cycles have different colors).

Lending further support to Lehel's conjecture, Gyárfás [13] proved that in every 2-coloring of K_n there is a red cycle and a blue cycle which span the vertex set and have at most one common vertex. Łuczak, Rödl, and Szemerédi [23] proved Lehel's conjecture for large n and later Allen [1] gave a different proof of Lehel's conjecture for smaller, but still large n. Finally, Bessy and Thomassé [5] proved Lehel's conjecture for all n.

Theorem 1.3 (Bessy, Thomassé). *Every 2-coloring of K_n has a partition into a red cycle and blue cycle.*

Schelp [28] raised the general problem of determining whether results such as Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, and Theorem 1.3, which are about complete graphs, actually hold for graphs with sufficiently large minimum degree. In particular he conjectured that the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 still holds if K_n is replaced by any graph G with $\delta(G) > \frac{3n}{4}$. Gyárfás and Särközy [16] proved that for all $\epsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large n, if G is a 2-colored graph with $\delta(G) \geq (3/4+\epsilon)n$, then G contains a monochromatic P^k with $k \geq (2/3-\epsilon)n$. Then Benevides, Łuczak, Skokan, Scott, and White [4] proved a Schelp-type analog of Theorem 1.2; that is, for all $\epsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large n, if G is a 2-colored graph with $\delta(G) \geq 3n/4$, then G contains a monochromatic C^k with $k \geq (2/3 - \epsilon)n$ and they conjectured an exact version of this result (see Conjecture 8.3 in [4]).

Inspired by the above results, Balogh, Barat, Gerbner, Gyárfás, and Sárközy [3] conjectured the following Schelp-type analog of Theorem 1.3.

Conjecture 1.4 (Balogh, Barát, Gerbner, Gyárfás, Sárközy). *If G is a 2-colored graph on n vertices with $\delta(G) > \frac{3n}{4}$, then G has a partition into a red cycle and a blue cycle.*

They prove that their conjecture nearly holds in an asymptotic sense; that is, for all $\gamma > 0$, there exists n_0 such that if G is a 2-colored graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $\delta(G) \geq (\frac{3}{4} + \gamma)n$, then there is a vertex disjoint red cycle and blue cycle spanning at least $(1 - \gamma)n$ vertices.

In this paper, we prove that their conjecture holds asymptotically.

Theorem 1.5. *For all $\gamma > 0$, there exists n_0 such that if G is a 2-colored graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $\delta(G) \geq (\frac{3}{4} + \gamma)n$, then G has a partition into a red cycle and blue cycle.*

In Section 2, we give a small example to show that Conjecture 1.4 does not hold for all n. Despite this, we propose Conjecture 2.3, a slight strengthening of Conjecture 1.4 for sufficiently large n.

1.1 Notation

For a natural number k, we write $[k]$ to mean the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Throughout the paper we use "color 1" and "red" interchangeably and likewise for "color 2" and "blue." In a 2-colored graph G with 2-coloring $E(G) = E_1 \cup E_2$, we let G_i be the graph $(V(G), E_i)$ for $i \in [2]$. We sometimes write $\delta_i(G)$ to mean $\delta(G_i)$. For subsets $A, B \subseteq V(G)$, we write $\delta(A, B)$ to mean the minimum number of neighbors any vertex in A has in B, and we write $E_{G_i}(A, B)$ to mean the set of edges in G_i with an endpoint in A and the other endpoint in B. We also write $e_i(A, B)$ or $e_{G_i}(A, B)$ to mean $|E_{G_i}(A, B)|$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, we write $\deg_i(v)$ in place of $\deg_{G_i}(v)$, and $\deg_i(v, A)$ for $\deg_{G_i}(v, A)$. Given a graph G and disjoint subsets $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, we let $G[X, Y]$ be the bipartite subgraph induced by all edges having one endpoint in X and one endpoint in Y. We say a (U, V)-bipartite graph is balanced if $|U| = |V|$.

Throughout the paper, we will write $\alpha \ll \beta$ to mean that given β, we can choose α small enough so that α satisfies all of necessary conditions throughout the proof. More formally, we can set $\alpha := \min\{f_1(\beta), f_2(\beta), \ldots, f_k(\beta)\}$, where each $f_i(\beta)$ corresponds to the maximum value of α allowed so that the corresponding argument in the proof holds. In order to simplify the presentation, we will not determine these functions explicitly.

2 Sharpness examples

Proposition 2.1. *There exists a 2-colored graph F on 9 vertices with $\delta(G) = 7 = \frac{3\cdot 9+1}{4}$ such that F does not have a partition into a red cycle and blue cycle.*



Figure 1: A 2-colored graph (with the red edges shown as dashed lines) F on 9 vertices with $\delta(F) = 7 = \frac{3\cdot 9+1}{4}$ which does not have a partition into a red cycle and blue cycle.

Proof. (See Figure 1) Let F be the graph on the vertex set $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$ such that the complement of the edge set is $\{z_1z_4, z_3z_2, x_1y_2, x_2y_1\}$. Color all edges x_iz_j red, all edges y_iz_j blue, all edges x_ix_j blue, y_1y_2 red, x_1y_1, x_2y_2 blue, x_3y_1, x_3y_2 red, z_1z_2, z_3z_4 blue, and z_1z_3, z_2z_4 red.

The complement of $E(F)$ is a matching and thus $\delta(F) = 8 - 1 = \frac{3\cdot 9+1}{4}$. Checking cases shows that F does not have a partition into a red cycle and a blue cycle. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let $n = 4q + r$ with $0 \le r \le 3$. For all r, there exists a 2-colored graph F with $\delta(F) = \lceil \frac{3n-3}{4} \rceil - 1$ such that F does not have a partition into a red cycle and blue cycle.*

Proof. (See Figure 2) Let $n = 4q + r$. Let $\{X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2\}$ be a partition of a set with n elements such that (i) $|X_1| + |X_2| + |Y_1| + |Y_2| = n$, (ii) $|X_1| \ge |Y_2| \ge |X_2|, |Y_1|$, and (iii) the



Figure 2: Three examples of graphs which have minimum degree $\lceil \frac{3n-3}{4} \rceil - 1$, and do not have a partition into a red cycle and a blue cycle. The striped lines represent edges whose color has no effect on the example.

difference between the sizes of any pair of sets is at most 1.

Let F_1 be the graph obtained from the complete graph on $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup Y_1 \cup Y_2$ by deleting all edges between X_1 and Y_2 and all edges between X_2 and Y_1. Now 2-color the edges of F_1 so that all edges between X_1 and X_2 and between Y_1 and Y_2 are blue and all edges between X_1 and Y_1 and between X_2 and Y_2 are red, and all edges inside X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 are arbitrarily colored.

Let F_2 be the graph obtained from the complete graph on $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup Y_1 \cup Y_2$ by deleting all edges between X_1 and Y_2. Now 2-color the edges of F_2 so that all edges between X_1 and X_2 and between Y_1 and Y_2 are blue, all edges between X_1 and Y_1 and between X_2 and $Y_1 \cup Y_2$ are red, all edges inside X_1, Y_2 are colored blue, and all edges inside X_2, Y_1 are arbitrarily colored.

Now let $\{X, Y, Z\}$ be a partition of a set on n elements such that $|X| + |Y| + |Z| = n$, $||X| - |Y|| \leq 1$, and $1 \leq |X| + |Y| - |Z| \leq 2$.

Let F_3 be the graph obtained from the complete graph on $X \cup Y \cup Z$ obtained by deleting all edges between X and Y. Now color the edges inside X blue, the edges inside Y red, the edges inside Z arbitrarily, the edges between X and Z red, and the edges between Y and Z blue.

Note that

$$\left\lceil \frac{3n-3}{4} \right\rceil - 1 = \begin{cases} 3q-1; & n = 4q \\ 3q-1; & n = 4q+1 \\ 3q; & n = 4q+2 \\ 3q+1; & n = 4q+3 \end{cases}$$

If $n = 4q$, then $\delta(F_1) = \delta(F_2) = 3q-1$. If $n = 4q+1$, then $\delta(F_1) = \delta(F_2) = \delta(F_3) = 3q-1$. If $n = 4q+2$, then $\delta(F_1) = \delta(F_2) = \delta(F_3) = 3q$. If $n = 4q+3$, then $\delta(F_1) = \delta(F_2) = \delta(F_3) = 3q+1$.

One can easily check that none of F_1, F_2, and F_3 have a partition into a red cycle and a blue cycle. □

Conjecture 2.3. *There exists n_0 such that if G is a 2-colored graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $\delta(G) \geq \frac{3n-3}{4}$, then G has a partition into a red cycle and a blue cycle.*

Note added in proof: While this paper was under review, Letzter [21] proved Conjecture 1.4 for sufficiently large n and gave examples to show that Conjecture 2.3 is false.

3 Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.5

As with the proof in [3] (and many earlier results starting with [22] and [23]), the idea is to prove that if G is a 2-colored graph, here with $\delta(G) > 3n/4$, then one can find a partition of G into a red matching and a blue matching such that the red matching is contained in a red component and the blue matching is contained in a blue component (i.e. a partition into a red connected matching and a blue connected matching). Then using Szemerédi's regularity method, one can apply this result to a reduced graph to find a vertex disjoint red cycle and blue cycle which span most of the vertices. In applications of this method where the host graph is complete, it is possible to show that the matchings satisfy certain stronger properties which allow one to insert the remaining vertices in an ad hoc way. However, since G is not complete, inserting the remaining vertices seems more difficult here.

Our idea is to use the absorbing method of Rödl, Ruciński, and Szemerédi (see [26] and [24]). However, the Ramsey-type setting introduces some new challenges. Before applying regularity, we must analyze the structure of the graph and show that G_1 and G_2 contain contain robust subgraphs, which in this context means they have sufficiently large minimum degree and are highly connected in some sense. These robust subgraphs can be shown to have certain expansion properties (allowing for a notion of bipartite expansion) and are not sensitive to the deletion of a small number of vertices, which together will allow for absorbing. Then, regularity is applied so that all clusters lie inside a rough initial partition Now proceeding as before, one can find two monochromatic cycles which miss only a small number of vertices and which mostly use edges from G_1 and G_2. The absorbing structures allow the leftover vertices to be "automatically" inserted into the cycles, thus completing the monochromatic cycle partition.

In Section 4 we introduce some preliminary lemmas, in Section 5 we prove that robust components have the connecting/absorbing property (the results of this section are independent of the edge-colored setting of this paper and can have other applications), in Section 6 we prove structural results regarding robust components, in Section 7 we prove a result about connected matchings and complete the proof, and finally in Section 8 we make some concluding remarks.

4 Preliminary material

Lemma 4.1 (Chvátal [7]). (i) *Let G be a graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices and let $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$ be the degree sequence of G. If for all $1 \leq i < n/2$ we have $d_i \geq i+1$ or $d_{n-i} \geq n-i$, then G contains a Hamiltonian cycle.*

(ii) *Let G be a balanced (U,V)-bipartite graph on $2n \geq 4$ vertices. Suppose that $\deg(u_1) \leq \deg(u_2) \leq \cdots \leq \deg(u_n)$ and $\deg(v_1) \leq \deg(v_2) \leq \cdots \leq \deg(v_n)$. If $\deg(u_i) > i$ or $\deg(v_{n-i}) > n-i$ for all $1 \leq i < n$, then G has a Hamiltonian cycle.*

4.1 Definitions and observations

Definition 4.2 (α-sparse cut). *Let $0 < \alpha$ and let G be a graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices. For disjoint $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, we say (X,Y) is an α-sparse pair if $e(X,Y) < \alpha|X||Y|$. We say G has an α-sparse cut if there exists $X \subseteq V(G)$ such that $(X, V(G) \setminus X)$ is an α-sparse pair.*

Definition 4.3 ((η,α)-robust)**.** *Let $0<\alpha,\eta$ and let G be a graph on n vertices. A subgraph $H\subseteq G$ is (η,α)-robust if $\delta(H)\geq \eta n$ and H has no α-sparse cut. We say $X\subseteq V(G)$ is (η,α)-robust if $G[X]$ is (η,α)-robust.*

We say that G has an (η,α)-robust partition if there exists a partition $\{V_1,\dots,V_k\}$ of $V(G)$ such that $G[V_i]$ is (η,α)-robust for all $i\in[k]$.

The following simple observation basically says that if the minimum degree is at least ηn, then any α-sparse cut $\{X,Y\}$ must have both $|X|$ and $|Y|$ be sufficiently large.

Observation 4.4. *Let $0<\alpha\leq\eta/2$, let G be a graph on n vertices, and let $\{X_1,X_2\}$ be a partition of $V(G)$ with $|X_1|\leq|X_2|$. If $\delta(G)\geq\eta n$ and $|X_1|\leq\eta n/2$, then $e(X_1,X_2)\geq\frac{\eta}{2}|X_1||X_2|\geq\alpha|X_1||X_2|$.*

Proof. Suppose $\delta(G)\geq\eta n$ and $|X_1|\leq\eta n/2$. By the minimum degree condition, we have $e(X_1,X_2)\geq(\eta n-|X_1|)|X_1|\geq\frac{\eta}{2}n|X_1|\geq\frac{\eta}{2}|X_1||X_2|\geq\alpha|X_1||X_2|$. □

The following two observations (using slightly different language) are proved in [8].

Observation 4.5 ([8] Lemma 6.1)**.** *Let $0<\alpha\leq\eta/2$ and let G be a graph on n vertices with $\delta(G)\geq\eta n$. If G has an α-sparse cut, then there exists another partition $\{X_1,X_2\}$ of $V(G)$ such that $e(X_1,X_2)\leq\alpha n^2$ and $\delta(G[X_i])\geq(\eta-\frac{5\alpha}{\eta})|X_i|$ for $i\in[2]$.*

Observation 4.6 ([8] Lemma 6.2)**.** *Let $0<\alpha\leq\eta^3/80$ and let G be a graph on n vertices. If $\delta(G)\geq\eta n$, then there exists a partition $\{V_1,\dots,V_k\}$ of $V(G)$ such that for all $i\in[k]$ we have that $|V_i|\geq\eta n/2$ (which implies $k\leq\frac{2}{\eta}$), and $G[V_i]$ has no α-sparse cuts, $\delta(G[V_i])\geq\eta|V_i|/2$. In particular, G has an $(\eta^2/4,\alpha)$-robust partition.*

Observation 4.7. *Let $0<\alpha\leq\eta/2$ and let G be a graph on n vertices. If G is (η,α)-robust and $Z\subseteq V(G)$ with $|Z|\leq\frac{\alpha\eta}{8}n$, then $G-Z$ is $(\eta/2,\alpha/2)$-robust.*

Proof. The minimum degree condition follows immediately since $|Z|\leq\frac{\alpha\eta}{8}n\leq\eta n/2$. Suppose there is a partition $\{X_1,X_2\}$ of $V(G)\setminus Z$ with $|X_1|\leq|X_2|$ such that $e(X_1,X_2)<\frac{\alpha}{2}|X_1||X_2|$. Note that this implies $|X_1|\geq\eta n/4$ as otherwise by Observation 4.4 we would have $e(X_1,X_2)\geq\frac{\alpha}{2}|X_1||X_2|$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}e(X_1\cup Z,X_2)=e(X_1,X_2)+e(Z,X_2)<\frac{\alpha}{2}|X_1||X_2|+\frac{\alpha\eta}{8}n|X_2|&=\alpha(\frac{|X_1|}{2}+\frac{\eta/4}{2}n)|X_2|\\&\leq\alpha|X_1\cup Z||X_2|.\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(X_1\cup Z,X_2)$ is an α-sparse cut in G, contradicting the original assumption. □

Definition 4.8 (η'-maximal extension)**.** *Let $0<\alpha,\eta',\eta$, let G be a graph on n vertices, and let $H^0\subseteq G$ such that H^0 is (η,α)-robust. Consider the following process: for $i\geq1$, if there exists $v_i\in V(G)\setminus V(H^{i-1})$ with $\deg(v_i,H^{i-1})\geq\eta' n$, let $H^i:=G[V(H^{i-1})\cup\{v_i\}]$; if not, set $k:=i-1$. We call H^k an η'-maximal extension of H^0.*

Observation 4.9. *Let $0<\alpha\leq\eta'/2\leq\eta/2$ and let G be a graph on n vertices. If there exists $H^0\subseteq G$ such that H^0 is (η,α)-robust and H^k is an η'-maximal extension of H^0, then H^k is $(\eta',\alpha\eta'\tau)$-robust where $\tau:=\frac{|V(H^0)|}{|V(H^k)|}$.*

Proof. The minimum degree condition follows immediately from the definition.

Set $n_0 := |V(H^0)|$, in which case we can write $\tau = \frac{n_0}{n_0+k} \geq \frac{\eta n}{n} = \eta$. Let $\{Y_1, Y_2\}$ be a partition of $V(H^k)$ such that

$$e(Y_1, Y_2) < \alpha\eta'\tau|Y_1||Y_2| \leq \alpha\eta'\tau n^2/4. \tag{1}$$

For all $j \in [2]$, set $X_j := Y_j \cap V(H^0)$; without loss of generality, suppose $|X_1| \leq |X_2|$. Since $\delta(H^k) \geq \eta' n$, Observation 4.4 implies that $|Y_1| \geq \eta' n/2$.

If $|X_1| \leq \eta' n/2$, then each vertex in X_1 has at least $\eta' n/2$ neighbors in X_2 and the first $\lceil \eta' n/2 \rceil - |X_1|$ vertices which are added to Y_1 in the process each have at least $\eta' n/2$ neighbors in Y_2. So

$$e(Y_1, Y_2) \geq e(X_1, X_2) + (\eta' n/2 - |X_1|)\eta' n/2 \geq |X_1|\eta' n/2 + (\eta' n/2 - |X_1|)\eta' n/2 = \eta'^2 n^2/4,$$

contradicting (1).

So suppose $|X_1| > \eta' n/2$, which implies that

$$4|X_1||X_2| > 4\frac{\eta' n}{2}(n_0 - \frac{\eta' n}{2}) \geq 2\eta'(1 - \frac{\eta' n}{2n_0})nn_0 \geq 2\eta'(1 - \frac{\eta'}{2\eta})nn_0 \geq \eta' nn_0. \tag{2}$$

Since H^0 is (η, α)-robust, we have $e(X_1, X_2) \geq \alpha|X_1||X_2|$ and by (2) and the fact that $|Y_1||Y_2| \leq \left(\frac{n_0+k}{2}\right)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(Y_1, Y_2) \geq e(X_1, X_2) \geq \alpha\frac{|X_1|}{|Y_1|}\frac{|X_2|}{|Y_2|}|Y_1||Y_2| \geq \alpha\frac{4|X_1||X_2|}{(n_0+k)^2}|Y_1||Y_2| \geq \alpha\frac{\eta' nn_0}{(n_0+k)^2}|Y_1||Y_2| \\ \geq \alpha\eta'\tau|Y_1||Y_2|, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (1). □

Definition 4.10 (β-near-bipartite)**.** *Let $0 < \beta, \eta$ and let G be a graph on n vertices. We say G is β-near-bipartite if there exists $X \subseteq V(G)$ such that $e(X) < \beta n^2$ and $e(V(G) \setminus X) < \beta n^2$. If in addition to this we have $\delta(X, V(G) \setminus X) \geq \eta n$ and $\delta(V(G) \setminus X, X) \geq \eta n$, then we say G has a (η, β)-bipartition.*

Observation 4.11. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq \eta/2$ and $0 < \beta \leq \alpha^{3/2}/9$, and let G be a graph on n vertices. If G is (η, α)-robust and β^2-near-bipartite, then G has an $(\eta/2, \beta)$-bipartition $\{S_1, S_2\}$ such that $H := G[S_1, S_2]$ is $(\eta/2, \alpha/2)$-robust.*

Proof. Let $\{S_1', S_2'\}$ be a partition of G such that $e(S_i') < \beta^2 n^2$ for all $i \in [2]$. For $i \in [2]$, let $T_i' = \{v \in S_i' : \deg(v, S_{3-i}') < 3\eta n/4\}$. Since

$$\frac{1}{2}|T_i'|\eta n/4 \leq e(S_i') < \beta^2 n^2,$$

we have $|T_i'| < \frac{8\beta^2}{\eta} n$ for each $i \in [2]$. Let $\{T_1, T_2\}$ be a partition of $T_1' \cup T_2'$ which maximizes $e((S_1' \setminus T_1') \cup T_1, (S_2' \setminus T_2') \cup T_2)$ and set $S_i := (S_i' \setminus T_i') \cup T_i$ for $i \in [2]$. For all $v \in S_i \setminus T_i$, we have

$$\deg(v, S_{3-i}) \geq 3\eta n/4 - |T_{3-i}'| \geq 3\eta n/4 - \frac{8\beta^2}{\eta} n \geq \eta n/2.$$

For all $v \in T_i$, we have $\deg(v, S_{3-i}) \geq \eta n/2$, as otherwise we could move v to T_{3-i} to increase the number of crossing edges, contradicting the choice of $\{T_1, T_2\}$. For each $i \in [2]$, we also have

$$e(S_i) \leq e(S_i') + e(T_i, S_i) \leq \beta^2 n^2 + \frac{8\beta^2}{\eta} n|S_i| \leq \frac{9\beta^2}{\eta} n^2 \leq \beta n^2,$$

which completes the proof that $\{S_1, S_2\}$ is an $(\eta/2, \beta)$-bipartition.

To see that $H = G[S_1, S_2]$ is $(\eta/2, \alpha/2)$-robust, first note that the degree condition follows from the definition of $(\eta/2, \beta)$-bipartition. Let $\{X_1, X_2\}$ be a partition of $V(H)$ with $|X_1| \leq |X_2|$. If $|X_1| \leq \eta n/4$, then by the degree condition and Observation 4.4 we have $e_H(X_1, X_2) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}|X_1||X_2|$. So suppose $|X_1| > \eta n/4$. Since G has no α-sparse cuts, we have $e_G(X_1, X_2) \geq \alpha|X_1||X_2|$ and thus

$$e_H(X_1, X_2) = e_G(X_1, X_2) - e(S_1) - e(S_2) \geq \alpha|X_1||X_2| - \frac{18\beta^2}{\eta} n^2 \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}|X_1||X_2|.$$

Where the last inequality follows by $\alpha \leq \eta/2$ and $\beta \leq \alpha^{3/2}/9$ and $|X_1||X_2| \geq \eta n/4(1-\eta/4)n \geq \eta n^2/8$. □

4.2 Probability

It will be helpful to have the following version of Markov's inequality.

Lemma 4.12 (Markov)**.** *Let S be a finite multiset of non-negative real numbers. Denote the sum of the elements of S by Σ and their average value by μ. For $a > 0$, set $S_{\leq a} = \{i \in S : i \leq a\}$ and $S_{\geq a} = \{i \in S : i \geq a\}$.*

(i) $|S_{\geq a}| \leq \frac{\mu}{a}|S| = \frac{\Sigma}{a}$.

(ii) *If $a \leq \mu < \max\{S\} \leq b$, then $|S_{\leq a}| \leq \frac{b-\mu}{b-a}|S| = \frac{b|S|-\Sigma}{b-a}$.*

Proof. Both parts follow from the fact that $a|S_{\geq a}| \leq \Sigma \leq a|S_{\leq a}| + b(|S| - |S_{\leq a}|)$. □

Lemma 4.13 (Chernoff)**.** *Let X be a binomial or hypergeometric random variable. Then for all $0 < \epsilon < 3/2$,*

$$Pr(|X - \mathbb{E}X| \geq \epsilon \mathbb{E}X) \leq 2\exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{3}\mathbb{E}X\right).$$

4.3 Regularity

Implicit in the proof of the regularity lemma [29] is the fact that one can start with an arbitrary initial partition of the vertex set (into parts that are not too small) and obtain an ϵ-regular partition which has the property that all parts are subsets of the initial partition.[1] Below is the standard degree form for the 2-colored regularity lemma (see [18]) with this fact made explicit. We call $\{E_1, E_2\}$ a 2-multicoloring of G if $E_1 \cup E_2 = E(G)$ (i.e. we allow for $E_1 \cap E_2 \neq \emptyset$).

[1]The initial partition consisting of ℓ parts with $n \gg 1/\ell$ is refined over and over until the ϵ-regular partition is obtained.

Lemma 4.14 (2-colored regularity lemma – degree form)**.** *Let G be a 2-colored graph on n vertices, let $0 < \rho < 1/2$, and let $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_\ell\}$ be a partition of $V(G)$ with $|Q_i| \geq \rho n$ for all $i \in [\ell]$. For all $0 < \epsilon \ll \rho$ and $m \geq \ell$, there exists an $M = M(\epsilon, m)$ such that if $d \in [0,1]$ is any real number, then there is $m \leq k \leq M$, a partition $\{V_0, V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ of the vertex set V and a subgraph $G' \subseteq G$ with the following properties:*

(i) *for all $i \in [k]$, there exists $j \in [\ell]$ such that $V_i \subseteq Q_j$,*

(ii) *$|V_0| \leq \epsilon n$,*

(iii) *all clusters $V_1, \dots, V_k$ are of the same size $|V_1| \leq \lceil \epsilon n \rceil$,*

(iv) *$d_{G'}(v) > d_G(v) - (2d + \epsilon)n$ for all $v \in V$,*

(v) *$e(G'[V_i]) = 0$ for all $i \in [k]$,*

(vi) *for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, the pair (V_i, V_j) is ϵ-regular in G'_1 with a density either 0 or greater than d and ϵ-regular in G'_2 with a density either 0 or greater than d, where $E(G') = E(G'_1) \cup E(G'_2)$ is the induced 2-coloring of G'.*

Definition 4.15 ((ϵ, d)-reduced graph)**.** *Given a graph G, an initial partition $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_\ell\}$, and a partition $\{V_0, V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ satisfying conditions (i)-(vi) of Lemma 4.14, we define the (ϵ, d)-reduced graph of G to be the graph Γ on vertex set $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ such that V_iV_j is an edge of Γ if $G'[V_i, V_j]$ has density at least $2d$. For each $V_iV_j \in E(\Gamma)$, we assign color 1 if $G'_1[V_i, V_j]$ has density at least d and color 2 if $G'_2[V_i, V_j]$ has density at least d (note that since the total density is at least $2d$ every edge must receive a color, but it need not be unique).*

The fact that edges can receive two colors won't bother us as later on we will find a matching in the reduced graph and at that point (but only at that point) we can choose an arbitrary color for the edge.

The following is a well known consequence of the regularity lemma (see Proposition 42 in [19]).

Lemma 4.16. *Let $0 < 2\epsilon \leq d \leq c/2$ and let G be a graph on n vertices with $\delta(G) \geq cn$. If Γ is a (ϵ, d)-reduced graph of G obtained by applying Lemma 4.14, then $\delta(\Gamma) \geq (c - 2d)k$.*

We now prove that the reduced counterparts of robust components remain connected in the reduced graph. Note that it is possible to prove that robustness (with slightly relaxed parameters) is inherited by the reduced graph, but for our purposes, this is not needed.

Lemma 4.17. *Let $0 < \epsilon, d, \eta, \alpha, \rho$ be chosen so that $\epsilon \ll \rho$ and $4d + 2\epsilon < \alpha\eta$. Let G be a 2-colored graph and suppose there exists $X \subseteq V(G)$ such that X is (η, α)-robust in G_i for some $i \in [2]$. Suppose $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_\ell\}$ is a partition of $V(G)$ which refines $\{X, V(G) \setminus X\}$ and satisfies $|Q_i| \geq \rho n$ for all $i \in [\ell]$. If Γ is the (ϵ, d)-reduced graph of G respecting the given partition, then the reduced graph of color i induced by the clusters contained in X is connected.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose $X \subseteq V(G)$ is (η, α)-robust in G_1. After applying Lemma 4.14 to G with initial partition $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_\ell\}$, let $\mathcal{X}$ be the set of clusters which are subsets of X and suppose that $\Gamma_1[\mathcal{X}]$ is not connected. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the smallest component of $\Gamma_1[\mathcal{X}]$ and let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{A}$. Let $A = \bigcup_{V \in V(\mathcal{A})} V$ and $B = \bigcup_{V \in V(\mathcal{B})} V$; note that $|B| \geq |X|/2 \geq \eta n/2$. We have, by property (iv) of Lemma 4.14,

$$e_{G_1}(A, B) < |A|(2d + \epsilon)n < \alpha |A||B|$$

contradicting the fact that $G_1[X]$ is (η, α)-robust. □

5 Connecting and Absorbing

In this section, we prove that (η, α)-robust graphs G have the property that between any pair of vertices there are many short paths, and either every vertex is in many short odd cycles or G is close to bipartite and pairs of vertices from opposite sides of the bipartition are in many short even cycles. Together these properties will essentially allow us to say that in an (η, α)-robust graph, a nearly spanning cycle is essentially as good as a spanning cycle. To put this into an existing context, say that G is a (ν, τ)-*robust-expander* if for all $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $\tau n \leq |S| \leq (1-\tau)n$, $|\{v : \deg(v, S) \geq \nu n\}| \geq |S| + \nu n$. Kühn, Osthus, and Treglown [20] proved (stated here for undirected graphs) that for $0 < \frac{1}{n_0} \ll \nu \leq \tau \ll \eta$, if G is a graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices such that $\delta(G) \geq \eta n$ and G is a (ν, τ)-robust-expander, then G has a hamiltonian cycle. The results of this section show that properties weaker than "robust-expansion" imply absorption and thus reduces the problem of finding a spanning cycle in such a graph to finding a nearly spanning cycle.

Definition 5.1 (Neighborhood cascade)**.** *Let G be a graph and let $x \in V(G)$. A (k, α)-neighborhood cascade of x is a collection of disjoint sets $\{X_1, \ldots, X_k\}$ such that $X_1 = N(x)$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ we have $\delta(X_{i+1}, X_i) \geq \alpha n/k$. If $V(G) = \{x\} \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} X_i$, then we say that the neighborhood cascade is spanning.*

Lemma 5.2. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq \eta/8$ and let G be a graph on n vertices. If G is (η, α)-robust, then for all $x \in V(G)$ there exists a spanning (k, α^2)-neighborhood cascade of x with $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor 1/\alpha^2 \rfloor - 1$.*

Proof. Let $x \in V(G)$ and set $\widetilde{X}_1 = N(x)$. If $|\widetilde{X}_1| = n-1$, then we are done; so suppose not. For $i \geq 1$, set $X_{\leq i} = \{x\} \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq i} \widetilde{X}_j$ and $\widetilde{X}_{i+1} = \{v \in V(G) \setminus X_{\leq i} : \deg(v, X_{\leq i}) \geq \alpha^2 n\}$.

For any $i \geq 1$, if $|X_{\leq i}| < (1-\eta/2)n$, then

$$e(X_{\leq i}, V(G) \setminus X_{\leq i}) \geq \alpha |X_{\leq i}||V(G) \setminus X_{\leq i}| \geq \alpha\eta/2(1-\eta/2)n^2 \geq \alpha\eta n^2/4$$

and thus $|\widetilde{X}_{i+1}| \geq \frac{\alpha\eta n^2/4 - \alpha^2 n^2}{n} \geq \alpha^2 n$.

This implies that $|X_{\leq i}| \geq (1-\eta/2)n$ for some integer $i \leq \frac{1-\eta/2}{\alpha^2} \leq \lfloor 1/\alpha^2 \rfloor - 2$, which implies $X_{\leq i+1} = V(G)$ since $\delta(G) \geq \eta n$; note that $i+1 \leq 1/\alpha^2 - 1$. Let k_0 be minimum such that $X_{\leq k_0} = V(G)$ and note that as stated above $1 \leq k_0 \leq 1/\alpha^2 - 1$.

We will now consider each $2 \leq i \leq k_0$ one by one and update the sets $\widetilde{X}_2, \ldots, \widetilde{X}_i$ each time. We proceed from $i = 2$ to $i = k_0$. Let $h \leq i$ be the number of sets in $\{\widetilde{X}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{X}_i\}$ which are non-empty and for all $1 \leq j \leq i-1$, let

$$\widetilde{X}_i(j) = \{v \in \widetilde{X}_i : j \text{ is minimum such that } \deg(v, \widetilde{X}_j) \geq \alpha^2 n/h\}.$$

Note that by the definition of $\widetilde{X}_i$, the collection $\{\widetilde{X}_i(1), \ldots, \widetilde{X}_i(i-1)\}$ forms a partition of $\widetilde{X}_i$ (where some of the $\widetilde{X}_i(j)$'s may be empty). Now for all $2 \leq j \leq i-1$, set $\widetilde{X}_j := \widetilde{X}_j \cup \widetilde{X}_i(j-1)$ and $\widetilde{X}_i := \widetilde{X}_i(i-1)$. At the end of this process let $k \leq k_0$ be maximal such that $X_k \neq \emptyset$. For all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ we have $\delta(X_{i+1}, X_i) \geq \alpha^2 n/k$, as desired. □

5.1 Connecting

Definition 5.3 ((k,α)-connecting property)**.** *Let G be a graph on n vertices. For $x, y \in V(G)$, let $\mathrm{con}_i(x,y)$ be the set of x,y-paths having i internal vertices. We say G has the (k,α)-connecting property if for all $x, y \in V(G)$, there exists $1 \le i \le k$ such that $|\mathrm{con}_i(x,y)| \ge (\alpha n)^i$.*

The following lemma essentially says that graphs are robust if and only if they have the connecting property.

Lemma 5.4 (Connecting Lemma)**.** *Let $0 < \frac{1}{n_0} \ll \alpha, \eta$ and let G be a graph on $n \ge n_0$ vertices.*

(i) *If $\eta \ge 2\alpha^2$ and G is (η,α)-robust, then G has the $(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}, \alpha^4)$-connecting property.*

(ii) *If $\delta(G) \ge \eta n$ and G has the $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} - 1, \alpha)$-connecting property, then G is (η, α^{k+1})-robust.*

Proof. (i) First suppose G is (η,α)-robust and let $x, y \in V(G)$. By Lemma 5.2, there exists a spanning (k,α^2)-neighborhood cascade of x, say $\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ with

$$1 \le k \le \frac{1}{\alpha^2} - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(X_{i+1}, X_i) \ge \alpha^2 n/k \ \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le k-1. \tag{3}$$

Since $\delta(G) \ge \eta n$, there exists some $1 \le j \le k$ such that $|N(y) \cap X_j| \ge (\eta n - 1)/k$. By (3) we have

$$|\mathrm{con}_j(x,y)| \ge \frac{\eta n - 1}{k} \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha^2 n}{k}\right)^{j-1} \ge (\alpha^4 n)^j.$$

(ii) Suppose $\delta(G) \ge \eta n$ and for all $x, y \in V(G)$, there exists some $1 \le k \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} - 1$ such that there are at least $(\alpha n)^k$ x,y-paths having k internal vertices. Suppose for a contradiction that G is not (η, α^{k+1})-robust. Since $\delta(G) \ge \eta n$, this implies G has an α^{k+1} sparse cut (X, Y).

Set $k' := \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \right\rfloor - 1$. Note that there exists some $1 \le k \le k'$ such that at least $|X||Y|/k'$ of the pairs (x,y) with $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ have at least $(\alpha n)^k$ x,y-paths having k internal vertices. Let $\mathcal{P}_k(X,Y)$ be the set of all paths having k internal vertices with the first vertex in X and the last vertex in Y. So

$$|\mathcal{P}_k(X,Y)| \ge \frac{1}{k'}|X||Y|(\alpha n)^k. \tag{4}$$

Each path in $\mathcal{P}_k(X,Y)$ uses at least one edge from $E(X,Y)$, so for each $uv \in E(X,Y)$ with $u \in X$ and $v \in Y$, there are at most $(k+1)(n-2)(n-3)\cdots(n-2-k+1) < (k+1)n^k$ paths $P \in \mathcal{P}_k(X,Y)$ in which uv is the first edge from $E(X,Y)$ to appear on P (as such a path has $k+1$ edges and uv can appear in any of those $k+1$ positions). Thus

$$|\mathcal{P}_k(X,Y)| < e(X,Y)(k+1)n^k < \alpha^{k+1}|X||Y|(k+1)n^k \le \frac{1}{k+1}|X||Y|(\alpha n)^k,$$

contradicting (4). □

5.2 Absorbing

Definition 5.5 (Absorbing Property)**.** *Let G be a graph on n vertices.*

(i) *We say G has the $(2\ell,\alpha)$-vertex-absorbing property if for all $v \in V(G)$ there exists $2i \le 2\ell$ such that v is contained in at least $(\alpha n)^{2i}$ cycles of length $2i+1$.*

(ii) *We say that G has the $(4\ell, \alpha)$-pair-absorbing property if G contains a spanning bipartite subgraph $H = G[X, Y]$ such that for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ there exists $4i \leq 4\ell$ such that there are at least $(\alpha n)^{4i}$ cycles of length $4i+2$ in H containing x and y in which x and y are at distance $2i+1$ on the cycle (in other words there are $2i$ internal vertices between x and y in either direction on the cycle).*

Lemma 5.6 (Absorbing Lemma)**.** *Let $\frac{1}{n_0} \ll \alpha \ll \eta$, set $\rho := \alpha^{32/\alpha^2}$, and suppose G is an (η, α)-robust graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices.*

(i) *If G is not α^4-near-bipartite, then there exists a path P^* of length at most ρn, such that for all $W \subseteq V(G) \setminus V(P^*)$ with $|W| \leq \rho^3 n$, the subgraph $G[V(P^*) \cup W]$ contains a spanning path having the same endpoints as P^*.*

(ii) *If G is α^4-near-bipartite, then G has a spanning bipartite subgraph $H = G[X, Y]$ such that H is $(\eta/2, \alpha/2)$-robust and contains a path P^* of length at most ρn, such that for all $W \subseteq V(G) \setminus V(P^*)$ with $|W \cap X| = |W \cap Y| \leq \rho^3 n$, the subgraph $G[V(P^*) \cup W]$ contains a spanning path having the same endpoints as P^*.*

To prove Lemma 5.6, we need the following two preliminary results. Proposition 5.7 is specific to this application and Proposition 5.8 is the general machinery. While many recent papers have used the absorbing lemma (notably [26] and [24]), we still need to provide a proof of Proposition 5.8 here, as this is the only application (to our knowledge) where the absorbing sets have different sizes. This issue requires a bit more care, although the idea is the same.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $0 < \frac{1}{n_0} \ll \alpha \ll \eta$ and let G be a graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices. If G is (η, α)-robust, then either G has the $(2\lfloor 1/\alpha^2 \rfloor, \alpha^4)$-vertex-absorbing property or G is α^4-near bipartite and G has the $(4\ell, (\alpha/4)^4)$-pair-absorbing property for some integer ℓ with $\ell \leq 2/\alpha^2$.*

Proof. Suppose G is (η, α)-robust. First assume that G is not α^4-near bipartite. Let $x \in V(G)$; by Lemma 5.2, there exists a spanning (k, α^2)-neighborhood cascade of x, say $\{X_1, \ldots, X_k\}$ with $k \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \rfloor - 1$. Let $Y_1 = \bigcup_{1 \leq 2j+1 \leq k} X_{2j+1}$ and $Y_2 = \bigcup_{2 \leq 2j \leq k} X_{2j}$ (i.e. Y_1 is the union of the odd indexed sets and Y_2 is the union of the even indexed sets). Since G is not α^4-near-bipartite, we may suppose without loss of generality that $e(Y_1) \geq \alpha^4 n^2$ or $e(Y_1 \cup \{x\}) \geq \alpha^4 n^2$; in either case, we have $e(Y_1) \geq \alpha^4 n^2 - n$.

By the pigeonhole principle, there is some pair $X_i, X_j \subseteq Y_1$ (possibly $i = j$) such that

$$e(X_i, X_j) \geq \frac{\alpha^4 n^2 - n}{\binom{\lceil k/2 \rceil + 1}{2}} \geq \frac{\alpha^4}{k^2} n^2 \geq \alpha^8 n^2.$$

Since i and j have the same parity by design, $t := \frac{i+j}{2} \leq k \leq \lfloor 1/\alpha^2 \rfloor - 1$ is an integer. Now since $\delta(X_{h+1}, X_h) \geq \alpha^2 n/k$ for all $1 \leq h \leq k-1$, we have that x is contained in at least

$$\alpha^8 n^2 \left(\frac{\alpha^2 n}{k}\right)^{i-1} \left(\frac{\alpha^2 n}{k} - 1\right)^{j-1} \geq \alpha^{4i+4j} n^{i+j} = (\alpha^4 n)^{2t}$$

cycles of length $2t+1$, thus G has the $(2\lfloor 1/\alpha^2 \rfloor, \alpha^4)$-vertex-absorbing property.

Now suppose G is α^4-near-bipartite. By Observation 4.11, G has an $(\eta/2, \alpha^2)$-bipartition $\{X, Y\}$ such that $H := G[X, Y]$ is $(\eta/2, \alpha/2)$-robust. By Lemma 5.4, H has the $(4/\alpha^2, (\alpha/2)^4)$-connecting property, so for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, there exists $2 \leq 2i \leq 4/\alpha^2$ such that there

are at least $((\alpha/2)^4 n)^{2i}$ paths with $2i$ internal vertices from x to y. For each such path, there are at least $((\alpha/2)^4 n)^{2i} - 2in^{2i-1} \geq \frac{((\alpha/2)^4 n)^{2i}}{2}$ paths which are vertex disjoint from the chosen path. Thus there are at least $((\alpha/2)^4 n)^{4i}/4 \geq ((\alpha/4)^4 n)^{4i}$ cycles containing x and y in which each path from x to y on the cycle has $2i$ internal vertices. Thus G has the $(4\ell, (\alpha/4)^4)$-pair-absorbing property where 2ℓ is the largest even integer which is at most $4/\alpha^2$. □

While reading the following technical statement, it is useful to have some idea of how this will be applied in the proof of Lemma 5.6. For instance, in the non-bipartite case we have by Proposition 5.7 that every vertex is contained in many short odd cycles; i.e. a positive proportion of n^{2i} for some small enough i. So in this case, the set $\mathcal{T}$ will be the vertex set of the graph, the set $\mathcal{S}_{2i}$ will consist of $(2i)$-tuples of vertices, and in the auxiliary bipartite graph we will put an edge from a vertex to a $(2i)$-tuple if these form a $(2i+1)$-cycle in the original graph.

Proposition 5.8. *Let ℓ be a positive integer, let $0 < \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_\ell \leq 1$, and let $\sigma := \min\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_\ell\}$. For each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, let $\mathcal{S}_i$ be the collection of all i-tuples of distinct elements chosen from $[n]$, let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \bigcup_{i\in[\ell]} \mathcal{S}_i$, and let $\mathcal{T}$ be any set with $|\mathcal{T}| \leq |\mathcal{S}|$. There exists n_0 such that the following holds: If $n \geq n_0$ and Γ is an $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$-bipartite graph having the property that for all $u \in \mathcal{T}$ there exists $i \in [\ell]$ such that*

$$\deg(u, \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S}_i) \geq \sigma_i n^i,$$

then there exists a collection of disjoint sets $\mathcal{A}^* \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$|\mathcal{A}^* \cap \mathcal{S}_i| \leq \frac{\sigma n}{4\ell^2} \quad \text{for all } i \in [\ell] \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{A\in\mathcal{A}^*} |A| \leq \sigma n,$$

and for all $u \in \mathcal{T}$ there exists $i \in [\ell]$ such that

$$\deg(u, \mathcal{A}^* \cap \mathcal{S}_i) \geq \frac{\sigma^2}{32\ell^2} n,$$

and $\delta(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{T}) \geq 1$. Consequently, for all $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ with $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{32\ell^2} n$, the subgraph $\Gamma[\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{B}]$ contains a matching saturating $\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. We will show that a randomly chosen subset of $\mathcal{S}$ will almost surely satisfy all the properties that $\mathcal{A}^*$ must satisfy. Then by deleting some elements from the randomly chosen set, we will obtain the actual set $\mathcal{A}^*$ which has all of the desired properties.

For all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, set $\rho_i := \frac{\sigma}{8\ell^2 n^{i-1}}$. Let $\mathcal{A}^{(i)}$ be a randomly chosen subset of $\mathcal{S}_i$ where each each element is chosen independently with probability ρ_i and let $\mathcal{A}$ be the union of $\mathcal{A}^{(i)}$ over all i. We note several basic properties of $\mathcal{A}$ (due to the Chernoff inequality together with the union bound, unless otherwise indicated):

- With probability at least $1 - \exp\{-n/\log n\}$ we have for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$,

$$|\mathcal{A}^{(i)}| \leq 2\rho_i n^i = \frac{\sigma}{4\ell^2} n; \tag{5}$$

  and thus

$$\sum_{A\in\mathcal{A}} |A| = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} i \cdot |\mathcal{A}^{(i)}| \leq \frac{\sigma}{4\ell^2} n \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} i \leq \frac{\sigma n}{4} \leq \sigma n.$$

- Let $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} = \{(S_1, S_2) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} : S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset\}$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}|\right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \rho_i n^i \cdot i \cdot \rho_i n^{i-1} \leq \frac{\sigma^2 n}{64\ell^4} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} i \leq \frac{\sigma^2 n}{64\ell^2}.$$

  So by Markov's inequality,

$$\Pr\left[|\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}| \geq \frac{\sigma^2 n}{32\ell^2}\right] \leq 1/2,$$

  and thus with probability at least $1/2$, $\mathcal{A}$ has the property that

$$|\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}| < \frac{\sigma^2 n}{64\ell^2}. \tag{6}$$

- For all $u \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists $i \in [\ell]$ such that $\deg(u, \mathcal{S}) \geq \sigma_i n^i$. So with probability at least $1 - \exp\{-n/\log n\}$ we have

$$\deg(u, \mathcal{A}) \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho_i \cdot \sigma_i n^i \geq \frac{\sigma\sigma_i}{16\ell^2} n \geq \frac{\sigma^2}{16\ell^2} n. \tag{7}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}''$ be a subset of $\mathcal{S}$ for which properties (5), (6), and (7) hold. Now, in every pair of intersecting sets (S_1, S_2) in $\mathcal{A}''$, delete one of S_1 or S_2 and let $\mathcal{A}'$ be the resulting set. By properties (6) and (7), we have for all $u \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists $i \in [\ell]$ such that

$$\deg(u, \mathcal{A}') \geq \frac{\sigma^2}{16\ell^2} n - \frac{\sigma^2}{32\ell^2} n = \frac{\sigma^2}{32\ell^2} n.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}^* \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$ be a maximal subset having the property that $\delta(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{T}) \geq 1$ and note that by maximality we still have $\deg(u, \mathcal{A}^*) \geq \frac{\sigma^2}{32\ell^2} n$.

So for all $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ with $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{32\ell^2} n$, we can greedily choose a matching in $\Gamma[\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{B}]$ which saturates $\mathcal{B}$. □

Proof of Lemma 5.6. By Proposition 5.7, G either has the $(2\left\lfloor 1/\alpha^2 \right\rfloor, \alpha^4)$-vertex-absorbing property or G is α^4-near-bipartite and has the $(4\ell, (\alpha/4)^4)$-pair-absorbing property with $4\ell \leq 8/\alpha^2$. Suppose first that G has the $(2\left\lfloor 1/\alpha^2 \right\rfloor, \alpha^4)$-vertex-absorbing property.

Set $2\ell := 2\left\lfloor 1/\alpha^2 \right\rfloor$, for all $i \in [2\ell]$ set $\sigma_i := (\alpha^4)^i$, and set $\sigma := (\alpha^4)^{2\ell}$. Set $\mathcal{T} = V(G)$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{S \in \mathcal{S}_{2i} : 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$ (recall $\mathcal{S}_i$ is the set of i-tuples of vertices) and let Γ be an auxiliary $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}$-bipartite graph where ST is an edge if and only if $(v_1, \ldots, v_{2i}) = S \in \mathcal{S}$, $x = T \in \mathcal{T}$, and $xv_1 \ldots v_{2i}x$ is a cycle of length $2i+1$ in G (i.e. S "absorbs" T). Since G has the $(2\left\lfloor 1/\alpha^2 \right\rfloor, \alpha^4)$-vertex-absorbing property, for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ we have $\deg(T, \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S}_{2i}) \geq (\alpha^4 n)^{2i} = \sigma_{2i} n^{2i}$ for some $i \in [\ell]$. So applying Proposition 5.8 to Γ with the parameters $2\ell, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{2\ell}, \mathcal{S}$ defined above, we get a set $\mathcal{A}^*$ having the stated properties. Now we will show how to turn $\mathcal{A}^*$ into the desired path P^*.

For each element $(v_1, \ldots, v_{2i}) = A \in \mathcal{A}^*$ we let $P(A) = v_1 v_2 \ldots v_{2i-1} v_{2i}$ be the corresponding path in G. Consider some ordering $A^1, \ldots, A^t$ of the elements in $\mathcal{A}^*$ and suppose we considered all elements up to $A^s = (v_1, \ldots, v_{2i})$ where z^*_{s-1} is the last vertex of A^{s-1}. If $i = 1$, we set $a^*_s := v_1$ and $z^*_s := v_2$ (see Figure 3a). If $i \geq 2$ we set $a^*_s := v_2$, set $z^*_s := v_{2i}$ and



Figure 3: Absorbing vertices and pairs of vertices into cycle segments

use Lemma 5.4 to build paths of length at most ℓ (avoiding all previously used vertices) from v_{2j-1} to v_{2j+2} for all $1 \leq j \leq i-2$ and a path from v_{2i-3} to v_{2i-1} (see Figure 3b). Finally we build a path from z^*_{s-1} to a^*_s (i.e. the last vertex of A^{s-1} to the first vertex of A^s). So for each $(2i)$-tuple $A \in \mathcal{A}^*$ we will use at most $2i + i\ell \leq 2i\ell$ vertices and there are at most $\frac{\sigma}{4(2\ell)^2}n$ elements of order $2i$ in $\mathcal{A}^*$ for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. So we have built a path P^* using at most $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} 2i\ell\frac{\sigma}{4(2\ell)^2}n \leq \frac{(\ell+1)\sigma}{16}n \leq \rho n$ vertices.

Now let $W \subseteq V(G) \setminus V(P^*)$ with $|W| \leq \rho^3 n \leq \frac{\sigma^2 n}{32(2\ell)^2}$. By Proposition 5.8, there is a matching between vertices in W and elements in $\mathcal{A}^*$ which saturates W. For each $x \in W$, let $A(x) = (v_1, \ldots, v_{2i})$ be the element in $\mathcal{A}^*$ matched to x. If $i = 1$, then $P^* v_1 x v_2 P^*$ allows us to insert x. If $i \geq 2$, then

$$P^* v_2 v_3 \ldots v_6 v_7 \ldots v_{2i-6} v_{2i-5} \ldots v_{2i-2} v_{2i-1} \ldots v_{2i-3} v_{2i-4} \ldots v_9 v_8 \ldots v_5 v_4 \ldots v_1 x v_{2i} P^*$$

allows us to insert x if i is even, and

$$P^* v_2 v_3 \ldots v_6 v_7 \ldots v_{2i-4} v_{2i-3} \ldots v_{2i-1} v_{2i-2} \ldots v_{2i-5} v_{2i-6} \ldots v_9 v_8 \ldots v_5 v_4 \ldots v_1 x v_{2i} P^*$$

allows us to insert x if i is odd. Since inserting a vertex x rearranges only the internal vertices in the subpath of P^* induced by $A(x)$ to form a new path segment leaving the rest of P^* untouched, we see that $G[V(P^*) \cup W)]$ contains a spanning path having the same endpoints as P^*.

Now suppose that G is α^4-near-bipartite and has the $(4\ell, (\alpha/4)^4)$-pair-absorbing property with $4\ell \leq 8/\alpha^2$ which is witnessed by a bipartition $\{X, Y\}$ such that $H := G[X, Y]$ is $(\eta/2, \alpha/2)$-robust.

For all $i \in [4\ell]$ set $\sigma_i := ((\alpha/4)^4)^i$, and set $\sigma := ((\alpha/4)^4)^{4\ell}$. Set $\mathcal{T} = \{(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{S \in \mathcal{S}_{4j} : 1 \leq j \leq \ell\}$ (recall $\mathcal{S}_i$ is the set of i-tuples of vertices) and let Γ be an auxiliary $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}$-bipartite graph where ST is an edge if and only if

$(a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_j, b_j, u_j, v_j, \ldots, u_1, v_1) = S \in \mathcal{S}$, $(x, y) = T \in \mathcal{T}$, and

$$xa_1b_1a_2b_2 \ldots a_jb_jyv_ju_j \ldots v_2u_2v_1u_1x$$

is a cycle of length $4j+2$ in H (i.e. S "absorbs" T). Since H has the $(4\ell, (\alpha/4)^4)$-pair-absorbing property, for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ we have $\deg(T, \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S}_{4j}) \geq ((\alpha/4)^4 n)^{4j}$ for some $j \in [\ell]$. So applying Lemma 5.8 to Γ with the parameters $4\ell, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{4\ell}, \mathcal{S}$ defined above, we get a set $\mathcal{A}^*$ having the stated properties. Now we will show how to turn the set $\mathcal{A}^*$ into the desired path P^*.

Note that each element $A \in \mathcal{A}^*$ consists of two odd length paths $a_1b_1 \ldots a_jb_j$ and $u_1v_1 \ldots u_jv_j$. Consider some ordering $A^1, \ldots, A^t$ of the elements in $\mathcal{A}^*$ and suppose we considered all elements up to $A^s = (a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_j, b_j, u_j, v_j, \ldots, u_1, v_1)$ where z^*_{s-1} is the last vertex of A^{s-1}. If $j = 1$, we set $a^*_s := a_1$, set $z^*_s := v_1$, and use Lemma 5.4 (avoiding all previously used vertices) to build a path from b_1 to u_1 (see Figure 3c)). If $j \geq 2$ we set $a^*_s := b_1$ and $z^*_s := b_j$ and we use Lemma 5.4 to build paths of length at most ℓ from a_1 to u_j, from a_h to b_{h+1} for $2 \leq h \leq j-2$, from a_{j-1} to a_j, from v_h to u_{h-1} for all $3 \leq h \leq j$, from v_2 to v_1, and from u_1 to b_2 (see Figure 3d). Finally, we build a path from z^*_{s-1} to a^*_s (i.e. the last vertex of A^{s-1} to the first vertex of A^s). So for each $(4j)$-tuple $A \in \mathcal{A}^*$ we will use at most $4j + 2j\ell \leq 4j\ell$ vertices and there are at most $\frac{\sigma}{4(4\ell)^2}n$ elements of order $4j$ in $\mathcal{A}^*$ for each $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. So we have built a path P^* using at most $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} 4j\ell \frac{\sigma}{4(4\ell)^2}n \leq \frac{(\ell+1)\sigma}{32}n \leq \rho n$ vertices.

Now let $W \subseteq V(G) \setminus V(P^*)$ with $|W \cap X| = |W \cap Y| \leq \rho^3 n \leq \frac{\sigma^2 n}{32(4\ell)^2}$. Take an arbitrary partition $\mathcal{W}_2$ of W into sets of size 2 such that each member of $\mathcal{W}_2$ contains one point from $W \cap X$ and one point from $W \cap Y$. By Proposition 5.8, there is a matching between $\mathcal{W}_2$ and elements in $\mathcal{A}^*$ which saturates $\mathcal{W}_2$. For each $\{x, y\} \in \mathcal{W}_2$, let $A(x, y) = (a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_j, b_j, u_j, v_j, \ldots, u_1, v_1)$ be the element in $\mathcal{A}^*$ matched to $\{x, y\}$. If $j = 1$, then $P^*a_1xu_1 \ldots b_1yv_1P^*$ allows us to insert x and y. If $j \geq 2$, then

$$P^*b_1a_2 \ldots b_{j-1}a_j \ldots a_{j-1}b_{j-2} \ldots a_3b_2 \ldots u_1xa_1 \ldots u_jv_{j-1} \ldots u_2v_1 \ldots v_2u_3 \ldots v_jyb_jP^*$$

allows us to insert x and y if j is even, and

$$P^*b_1a_2 \ldots b_{j-2}a_{j-1} \ldots a_jb_{j-1} \ldots a_3b_2 \ldots u_1xa_1 \ldots u_jv_{j-1} \ldots u_3v_2 \ldots v_1u_2 \ldots v_jyb_jP^*$$

allows us to insert x and y if j is odd. Since inserting a pair $\{x, y\}$ rearranges only the internal vertices in the subpath of P^* induced by $A(x, y)$ to form a new path segment leaving the rest of P^* untouched, we see that $G[V(P^*) \cup W)]$ contains a spanning path having the same endpoints as P^*. □

6 Robust component structure

Definition 6.1 ((η, α)-nice partition)**.** *Let $0 < \alpha \leq \eta/2$ and let G be a r-colored graph. For each $i \in [r]$, let $\mathcal{H}_i$ be a (possibly empty) set of vertex disjoint (η, α)-robust subgraphs of G_i, and let $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{i \in [r]} \mathcal{H}_i$. We say that $\mathcal{H}$ is an (η, α)-nice partition of G if*

(i) *$V(G) = \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} V(H)$, and*

(ii) *for all $H_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$, if H_i is α^4-near-bipartite, then there exists an (η, α^2)-bipartition $\{X, Y\}$ of H_i with $|X| \leq |Y|$ such that $H_i[X, Y]$ is $(\eta/2, \alpha/2)$-robust, and there exists $H_j \in \mathcal{H}_j$ for some $j \neq i$ such that H_j is not α^4-near-bipartite and $|V(H_j) \cap Y| \geq \eta^{1/2} n$.*

The second, technical looking condition in the definition above is a direct consequence of the fact that α^4-near-bipartite components can only absorb pairs of vertices from opposite sides of the partition. It is useful to think of this condition as meaning that some non-bipartite component must be available to "absorb the imbalance" from any bipartite component.

In our main lemma of this section, we will show that a 2-colored graph with $\delta(G) \geq (3/4 + \gamma)n$ either directly has the desired monochromatic cycle partition or some robust structure which we will later exploit using regularity and absorbing.

Lemma 6.2 (Main structural lemma)**.** *Let $0 < \frac{1}{n_0} \ll \alpha \ll \eta \ll \gamma \leq 1/4$ and let G be a 2-colored graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices such that $\delta(G) \geq (\frac{3}{4} + \gamma)n$. Either G has a partition into a red cycle and a blue cycle or*

(i) *there exists an (η, α)-robust subgraph $H_i \subseteq G_i$, such that $|H_i| \geq (1 - \eta^{2/3})n$ and H_i is not α^4-near-bipartite, or*

(ii) *there exist (η, α)-robust subgraphs $H_i \subseteq G_i$ for $i \in [2]$ such that $\{H_1, H_2\}$ forms an (η, α)-nice partition of G and*

   (a) $|H_1|, |H_2| \geq (3/4 + \gamma/2)n$; or

   (b) $|H_i| \geq (1 - \eta^{2/3})n$, H_i is α^4-near-bipartite, and $|H_{3-i}| \geq (1/2 + \eta^2)n$.

Furthermore, for $i \in [2]$ and all $v \in V(G) \setminus V(H_i)$, $\deg_i(v) < \eta n$.

If every vertex had sufficiently large red degree and blue degree, we would have little difficulty proving this lemma. The first obstacle to overcome is dealing with the vertices which do not have large enough degree in some color. Given a 2-colored graph G, we define $Z_i(G, d)$ to be the set of vertices having degree less than d in color $3 - i$, and consequently having degree $\delta(G) - d$ in color i. We refer to the set $Z_i(G, d)$ as the *extreme* vertices of G_i. We now prove two claims which will ultimately be useful in dealing with the extreme vertices.

Claim 6.3. *Let $0 < \frac{1}{n_0} \ll \eta \ll \gamma \leq 1/4$ and let G be a 2-colored graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices such that $\delta(G) \geq (\frac{3}{4} + \gamma)n$. For $i \in [2]$, set $Z_i := Z_i(G, \eta^{1/3}n)$. Either*

(i) *$\delta_1(G) \geq \eta^{1/3}n$ and $\delta_2(G) \geq \eta^{1/3}n$, or*

(ii) *there exists $i \in [2]$ such that $\delta_i(G) \geq \eta^{1/3}n$ and $|Z_i| \geq \eta^{2/3}n$, or*

(iii) *$|Z_1| \geq \eta^{2/3}n$ and $|Z_2| \geq \eta^{2/3}n$, or*

(iv) *$G' := G - (Z_1 \cup Z_2)$ satisfies $|G'| > (1 - 2\eta^{2/3})n$ and $\delta_1(G') \geq \eta^{1/3}n/2$ and $\delta_2(G') \geq \eta^{1/3}n/2$, or*

(v) *there exists $i \in [2]$ such that $G' := G - Z_{3-i}$ satisfies $|G'| > (1 - \eta^{2/3})n$ and $\delta_i(G') \geq \eta^{1/3}n/2$ and $|Z_i'| \geq \eta^{2/3}n$, where $Z_i' := Z_i(G', \eta^{1/3}n)$.*

Proof. Suppose (i), (ii), and (iii) fail. If $|Z_1| < \eta^{2/3}n$ and $|Z_2| < \eta^{2/3}n$, then $G' := G - (Z_1 \cup Z_2)$ satisfies $|G'| > (1 - 2\eta^{2/3})n$ and $\delta_1(G') \geq \eta^{1/3}n - 2\eta^{2/3}n \geq \eta^{1/3}n/2$ and $\delta_2(G') \geq \eta^{1/3}n - 2\eta^{2/3}n \geq \eta^{1/3}n/2$.

So suppose $|Z_1| \geq \eta^{2/3}n$. Since (ii) fails, $\delta_1(G) < \eta^{1/3}n$ which implies $|Z_2| > 0$. Since (iii) fails, $0 < |Z_2| < \eta^{2/3}n$. So $G' := G - Z_2$ satisfies $\delta_1(G') \geq \eta^{1/3}n - \eta^{2/3}n \geq \eta^{1/3}n/2$ and $|Z_1'| \geq \eta^{2/3}n$ (since $Z_1 \cap Z_2 = \emptyset$). □

Claim 6.4. *Under the same assumptions as in Claim 6.3, if $|Z_i| \geq \eta^{2/3}n$, then there exists $H_i \subseteq G_i$ such that H_i is $(\eta, 8\alpha/\eta)$-robust, $Z_i \subseteq V(H_i)$, and $|H_i| \geq (3/4 + 3\gamma/4)n$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose $i = 1$. Note that

$$\deg_1(v) \geq (3/4 + \gamma - \eta^{1/3})n \text{ for all } v \in Z_1. \tag{8}$$

First we establish a general bound on the number of common neighbors of color 1 inside a given set $X \subseteq V(G)$. By (8), for all $z, z' \in Z_1$ we have $|N_1(z, z')| \geq (1/2 + 2\gamma - 2\eta^{1/3})n \geq (1/2 + \gamma)n$ and thus

$$|N_1(z, z') \cap X| \geq |X| - (1/2 - \gamma)n. \tag{9}$$

If $|Z_1| \geq (3/4 + 3\gamma/4)n$, then (9) with $X = Z_1$ implies that for all $z, z' \in Z_1$,

$$|N_1(z, z') \cap Z_1| \geq (1/4 + \gamma)n.$$

So $H_1 := G_1[Z_1]$ satisfies $|H_1| \geq (3/4 + 3\gamma/4)n$ and has the $(1, 1/4 + \gamma)$-connecting property. Thus H_1 is $(\eta, 8\alpha/\eta)$-robust by Lemma 5.4.

So suppose $\eta^{2/3}n \leq |Z_1| < (3/4 + 3\gamma/4)n$. Let $X = V(G) \setminus Z_1$ and let $X' = \{x \in X : \deg_1(x, Z_1) < \eta^{1/3}|Z_1|\}$. Then $\deg_1(v, X) \geq (\frac{3}{4} + \gamma - \eta^{1/3})n - |Z_1| > \gamma n/8$ for all $v \in Z_1$, so by Lemma 4.12.(ii), we have

$$|X'| \leq \frac{|Z_1||X| - |Z_1|((\frac{3}{4} + \gamma - \eta^{1/3})n - |Z_1|)}{|Z_1| - \eta^{1/3}|Z_1|} = \frac{|X| + |Z_1| - (\frac{3}{4} + \gamma - \eta^{1/3})n}{1 - \eta^{1/3}} < (\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\gamma}{4})n. \tag{10}$$

Set $U := Z_1 \cup (X \setminus X')$ and note that $|U| = n - |X'| > (3/4 + 3\gamma/4)n$. Set $H_1 := G_1[U]$. Note that $\deg_1(u, Z_1) \geq \eta^{1/3}|Z_1| \geq \eta n$ for all $u \in X \setminus X'$, and also by (9) and (10) we have $|N_1(z, z') \cap U| \geq (3/4 + 3\gamma/4)n - (1/2 - \gamma)n \geq (1/4 + \gamma)n$ for all $z, z' \in Z_1$. Thus for every pair of vertices in U, we can either find $(1/4 + \gamma)n$ paths of length 2, $\eta(1/4 + \gamma)n^2$ paths of length 3, or $\eta^2(1/4 + \gamma)n^3$ paths of length 4. Combined with the fact that $\delta(H_1) \geq \eta n$, we may apply Lemma 5.4(ii) to see that H_1 is $(\eta, 8\alpha/\eta)$-robust. □

We now prove a preliminary result which applies to graphs G having the property that for all $i \in [2]$, either $\delta_i(G)$ is sufficiently large or the number of extreme vertices in G_{3-i} is sufficiently large (i.e. Claim 6.3(i),(ii), or (iii) holds). Treating this case separately will allow for Lemma 6.2 to have a cleaner proof.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $0 < \frac{1}{n_0} \ll \alpha \ll \eta \ll \gamma \leq 1/4$ and let G be a 2-colored graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices such that $\delta(G) \geq (\frac{3}{4} + \gamma)n$. If*

(a) $\delta_1(G) \geq \eta^{1/3}n$ and $\delta_2(G) \geq \eta^{1/3}n$, or

(b) there exists $i \in [2]$ such that $\delta_i(G) \geq \eta^{1/3}n$ and $|Z_i| \geq \eta^{2/3}n$, or

(c) $|Z_1| \geq \eta^{2/3}n$ and $|Z_2| \geq \eta^{2/3}n$,

then there exist (η, α)-robust subgraphs $H_i \subseteq G_i$ for all $i \in [2]$ such that

(i) *$|H_1|, |H_2| \geq (3/4 + 3\gamma/4)n$ and $V(G) = V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)$, or*

(ii) *$|H_i| = n$ for some $i \in [2]$.*

Proof. Suppose that for all $i \in [2]$ we have $\delta_i(G) \geq \eta^{1/3}n$ or $|Z_{3-i}| \geq \eta^{2/3}n$ (this is just a concise way of stating the hypothesis).

Suppose first that the largest monochromatic $(\eta, 3\alpha/\eta)$-robust subgraph of G has fewer than $(1/2 - \gamma)n$ vertices. In this case, we must have $|Z_1|, |Z_2| < \eta^{2/3}n$ because of Claim 6.4,

which means $\delta_1(G)$, $\delta_2(G) \geq \eta^{1/3}n$. Now apply Observation 4.6 to each of G_1 and G_2 to get a $(\eta^{2/3}/4, \eta/80)$-robust partition of G_i for $i \in [2]$. Choose $H_1' \subseteq G_1$ and $H_2' \subseteq G_2$ to be the pair of parts in the partition having maximum intersection. Since (as given by Observation 4.6) there are at most $2/\eta^{1/3}$ parts in the partition of each G_i and each part has size at least $\eta^{1/3}n/2$, we have

$$|V(H_1') \cap V(H_2')| \geq \eta^{2/3}n/4. \tag{11}$$

Let H_1 and H_2 be η-maximal extensions of H_1' and H_2' respectively. We note that since $|H_i'| \geq \eta^{1/3}n/2$, we may apply Observation 4.9 with $\alpha = \eta/80$, with $\eta' = \eta$ and with $\tau \geq \eta^{1/3}/2$ to get that H_i is $(\eta, \eta^{7/3}/160)$-robust for $i \in [2]$.

If $|V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)| < 3n/4$, let $L := \{v \in V(H_1) \cap V(H_2) : \deg(v, V(G) \setminus (V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)) \geq \gamma n\}$. Since H_1 and H_2 are η-maximal, we have $e(V(H_1) \cap V(H_2), V(G) \setminus (V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)) < \eta n^2$ and thus $|L| < \frac{\eta}{\gamma} n < \eta^{2/3}n/4$. Thus by (11), $(V(H_1) \cap V(H_2)) \setminus L$ is non-empty. Now for all $v \in (V(H_1) \cap V(H_2)) \setminus L$ we have $\deg(v) < |V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)| + \gamma n < (3/4 + \gamma)n$, a contradiction.

So $|V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)| \geq 3n/4$. By assumption, we have $|H_1|, |H_2| < (1/2 - \gamma)n$ (since H_i is $(\eta, \eta^{7/3}/160)$-robust for $i \in [2]$). For a vertex $v \in V(H_1) \setminus V(H_2)$, we have $\deg(v) \leq |H_1| + \eta n + |V(G) \setminus (V(H_1) \cup V(H_2))| \leq (1/2 - \gamma)n + \eta n + n/4 < 3n/4$, a contradiction.

Next we show that if G contains a monochromatic $(\eta, 3\alpha/\eta)$-robust subgraph on at least $(1 - \eta^{1/3} + \eta)n$ vertices, then we satisfy conclusion (i) or (ii). So without loss of generality, suppose $H_2' \subseteq G_2$ is such a subgraph. Let H_2 be an η-maximal extension of H_2'. If $|H_2| = n$, we satisfy conclusion (ii), so suppose not. In this case we have $\deg_2(v) < |V(G) \setminus V(H_2)| + \eta n < \eta^{1/3}n$ for all $v \in V(G) \setminus V(H_2)$ and thus $V(G) \setminus V(H_2) \subseteq Z_1$. Since $\delta_2(G) < \eta^{1/3}n$, we must have $|Z_1| > \eta^{2/3}n$ (by the original assumption) and thus by Claim 6.4, there exists H_1 which together with H_2 satisfies conclusion (i).

So we are in the case where there exists a monochromatic $(\eta, 3\alpha/\eta)$-robust subgraph, say $H_2' \subseteq G_2$ with at least $(1/2 - \gamma)n$ vertices, and no monochromatic $(\eta, 3\alpha/\eta)$-robust subgraph of G has more than $(1 - \eta^{1/3} + \eta)n$ vertices.

Let H_2 be an η-maximal extension of H_2'. By Observation 4.9, H_2 is (η, α)-robust (in the application of Observation 4.9, we have $\tau > 1/3$ since $|H_2'| \geq (1/2 - \gamma)n$). Set $b := |H_2|$ and note that $(1/2 - \gamma)n \leq b \leq (1 - \eta^{1/3} + \eta)n$.

We first note that there can only be a small number of vertices in H_2 which have at least $\eta^{1/2}n$ neighbors of color 2 outside of H_2. Formally, set $Y_1 := V(G) \setminus V(H_2)$ and let $L_2 = \{v \in V(H_2) : \deg_2(v, Y_1) \geq \eta^{1/2}n\}$. Since $e_2(Y_1, V(H_2)) < \eta n^2$ (because H_2 is η-maximal), we have $|L_2| \leq \eta^{1/2}n$.

For all $v \in Y_1$,

$$\deg_1(v, V(H_2)) \geq (3/4 + \gamma)n - \eta n - (n - b) = b - (1/4 - \gamma + \eta)n. \tag{12}$$

So for all $u, v \in Y_1$, since $b \geq (1/2 - \gamma)n$, we have

$$|N_1(u, v) \cap V(H_2)| \geq 2(b - (1/4 - \gamma + \eta)n) - b = b - (1/2 - 2\gamma + 2\eta)n \geq \gamma n/2. \tag{13}$$

Also, for all $v \in V(H_2) \setminus L_2$,

$$\deg_1(v, Y_1) \geq (3/4 + \gamma)n - \eta^{1/2}n - b = (3/4 + \gamma - \eta^{1/2})n - b \tag{14}$$

So if $b \leq (3/4 + 3\gamma/4)n$, then (14), (13), and the bound on $|L_2|$ imply that for $H_1' := G_1[V(G) \setminus L_2]$, we have $\delta(H_1') \geq \gamma n/8$, $|H_1'| \geq (1 - \eta^{1/2})n \geq (1 - \eta^{1/3} + \eta)n$, and for every

pair of vertices $u, v \in V(H_1')$, there is an $1 \le i \le 3$ such that there are at least $(\gamma n/8)^i$ paths of length $i+1$ from u to v. Applying Lemma 5.4(ii), we see that H_1' is $(\gamma/8, (\gamma/8)^3)$-robust. However, we are in the case where there is no such robust monochromatic subgraph of this size.

So suppose $(3/4+3\gamma/4)n \le b < (1-\eta^{1/3}+\eta)n$. Let $X_2 = \{v \in V(H_2) : \deg_1(v, Y_1) < \eta n\}$. By (12) and Lemma 4.12.(ii), we have

$$|X_2| \le \frac{(n-b)b - (b-(\frac{1}{4}-\gamma+\eta)n)(n-b)}{n-b-\eta n} = \frac{(n-b)(\frac{1}{4}-\gamma+\eta)n}{n-b-\eta n} \le (1/4-\gamma/4)n.$$

Then $H_1 := G_1[Y_1 \cup (V(H_2) \setminus X_2)] = G_1[V(G) \setminus X_2]$ satisfies $|V(H_1)| \ge (3/4+3\gamma/4)n$ and is $(\eta, 3\alpha/\eta)$-robust in color 1 in which case we satisfy conclusion (i). Indeed, by (13) and the upper bound on $|X_2|$ we have for all $u, v \in Y_1$,

$$|N_2(u,v) \cap (V(H_2) \setminus X_2)| \ge b - (1/2 - 2\gamma + 2\eta)n - (1/4-\gamma/4)n \ge \gamma n$$

and $\deg_1(v, Y_1) \ge \eta n$ for all $v \in V(H_2) \setminus X_2$. Thus for every pair of vertices $u, v \in V(H_1)$ there exists $1 \le i \le 3$ such that there are at least $(\eta n)^i$ paths of length $i+1$ from u to v; thus by Lemma 5.4(ii), H_1 is $(\eta, 3\alpha/\eta)$-robust.

□

Finally, we prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Lemma 6.2. Set $\alpha_0 = 4\alpha/\eta$ and $\gamma_0 = \gamma - 8\eta^{2/3}$ and start by applying Claim 6.3 with 8η and γ. If Claim 6.3 (i), (ii), or (iii) hold, then set $G' := G$. If Claim 6.3 (iv) holds, set $G' := G - (Z_1 \cup Z_2)$. If Claim 6.3 (v) holds, then set $G' := G - Z_{3-i}$. Set $n' := |G'|$. Note that G' satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 6.5 (with n', α_0, η, γ_0) so we obtain (η, α_0)-robust subgraphs H_1', H_2' of G' satisfying the conclusion of Proposition 6.5.

First suppose Proposition 6.5.(i) holds; that is, $|H_1'|, |H_2'| \ge (3/4+3\gamma_0/4)n \ge (3/4+\gamma/2)n$ and $V(G') = V(H_1') \cup V(H_2')$. Then every vertex $v \in Z_1 \cup Z_2$ satisfies $\deg_i(v, H_i') \ge 3n/8$ for some $i \in [2]$. We add these vertices to the appropriate components by taking η-maximal extensions and thus by Observation 4.9, H_1 and H_2 are (η, α)-robust and satisfy conclusion (ii.a). If both H_1 and H_2 are not α^4-near-bipartite, then H_1, H_2 forms the desired (η, α)-nice partition. We delay the proof when, say H_1 is α^4-near-bipartite until the end (see Case 1 below).

Now suppose Proposition 6.5.(ii) holds; that is, without loss of generality $|H_1'| = n'$. If G_2' contains an (η, α_0)-robust subgraph with $|H_2'| \ge (3/4+3\gamma_0/4)n$, we would be in the previous case; so suppose not. Note that for all $v \in Z_1$, we have $\deg_1(v, H_1') \ge 3n/4$, so adding these vertices to H_1' by taking an η-maximal extension of H_1' and applying Observation 4.9 gives a (η, α)-robust component H_1. Note that any vertices in $G - H_1$ must be in Z_2 and if $|Z_2| \ge \eta^{2/3}n$, then by Claim 6.4, we would have a (η, α_0)-robust subgraph with $|H_2'| \ge (3/4+3\gamma_0/4)n$, which we don't have in this case. So $|Z_2| < \eta^{2/3}n$ and $V(G) \setminus V(H_1) \subseteq Z_2$. If H_1 is not α^4-near-bipartite, then we satisfy conclusion (i).

We have shown that either conclusion (i) or (ii.a) holds, but if some H_i is α^4-near-bipartite, then additional properties must hold in order to get an (η, α)-nice partition. From the cases above, assume that either $|H_1|, |H_2| \ge (3/4+\gamma/2)n$ and without loss of generality H_1 is α^4-near-bipartite or $|H_1| \ge (1-\eta^{2/3})n$ and H_1 is α^4-near-bipartite. Since H_1 is (η, α)-robust

and α^4-near-bipartite, by Observation 4.11 there exists an $(\eta/2, \alpha^2)$-bipartition $\{S, T\}$ of H_1 with $|S| \leq |T|$ such that $H_1[S, T]$ is $(\eta/2, \alpha/2)$-robust. Let $T' = \{v \in T : \deg_1(v, T) \geq \alpha n\}$, $S' = \{v \in S : \deg_1(v, S) \geq \alpha n\}$, $U' = \{v \in V(H_1) : \deg_1(v, V(G) \setminus V(H_1)) \geq \gamma n/4\}$. Since $e(S), e(T) \leq \alpha^2 n^2$, we have $|S'|, |T'| \leq \alpha n$. Since every vertex in $V(G) \setminus V(H_1)$ has fewer than ηn neighbors of color 1 in H_1, we have $e_1(V(H_1), V(G) \setminus V(H_1)) \leq \eta n^2$ and thus $|U'| \leq \frac{4\eta}{\gamma} n$. We will show that there exists a set X which contains all of the vertices of $V(G) \setminus V(H_1)$ and most of the vertices of T and which will be contained in our robust component H_2 which is not near-bipartite (this will ensure that H_1, H_2 will form the (η, α)-nice partition).

Precisely, let $X = V(G) \setminus (S \cup T' \cup U')$. Note that by the bounds on T' and U', we have $|X \cap T| \geq |T| - \frac{4\eta}{\gamma} n - \alpha n \geq (1 - \gamma)|T|$; once we show that $X \subseteq V(H_2)$ and H_2 is not α^4-near-bipartite, this shows that condition (ii) in Definition 6.1 is satisfied. In both of the following cases we will need some observations about the degree of vertices in X. Using the fact that either $x \in X \setminus T$ and H_1 is η-maximal, or $x \in X \cap T$ and thus not in U' or T', we have for all $v \in X$,

$$\deg_2(v, X) \geq (3/4 + \gamma)n - \gamma n/4 - \alpha n - |S \cup T' \cup U'| \geq (3/4 + \gamma/2)n - |S| \tag{15}$$

and

$$\deg_2(v, X \cap T) \geq (3/4 + \gamma)n - \gamma n/4 - \alpha n - (n - |H_1|) - |S \cup T' \cup U'| \geq |X \cap T| - (1/4 - \gamma/2)n. \tag{16}$$

Case 1 ($|H_1|, |H_2| \geq (3/4 + \gamma/2)n$). First note that since H_1 is η-maximal, for all $v \in X \setminus T$ we have $\deg_2(v) \geq (3/4 + \gamma)n - (n - |H_1|) - \eta n \geq (1/2 + \gamma)n$, and for all $v \in X \cap T$, by (15) we have $\deg_2(v) \geq (3/4 + \gamma/2)n - |S| \geq (1/4 + \gamma/2)n$. Thus $\deg_2(v, H_2) \geq \gamma n$ for all $v \in X$, and since H_2 is η-maximal we have $X \subseteq V(H_2)$.

So the only thing left to check is that H_2 is not α^4-near-bipartite. Note that $|T| \geq |H_1|/2 \geq (3/8 + \gamma/4)n$. By (16), for all $v \in X \cap T$ we have $\deg_2(v, X \cap T) \geq |X \cap T| - (1/4 - \gamma/2)n \geq n/8$, and by (15), for all $v, v' \in X \cap T$ we have $|N_2(v, v') \cap X| \geq 2((3/4 + \gamma/2)n - |S|) - (n - |S|) = (1/2 + \gamma)n - |S| \geq \gamma n$, thus there are at least $\frac{1}{6}|X \cap T| \cdot \frac{n}{8} \cdot \gamma n > \alpha n^3$ triangles in H_2 which implies that H_2 is not α^4-near-bipartite.

Case 2 ($|H_1| \geq (1 - \eta^{2/3})n$). First note that because of the order of H_1 and the definition of U', we have $U' = \emptyset$. Let $v, v' \in X$; by (16) and (15), we have $|N_2(v, v') \cap X| \geq 2((3/4 + \gamma/2)n - |S|) - (n - |S|) \geq (1/2 + \gamma)n - |S| \geq \gamma n$. Thus $G_2[X]$ has the $(1, \gamma)$-connecting property and is (γ, γ^2)-robust by Lemma 5.4. Note that since $G_2[X]$ has the $(1, \gamma)$-connecting property, every edge of $G_2[X]$ is contained in at least γn triangles and thus $G_2[X]$ contains at least $\gamma^2 n^3/3 > \alpha n^3$ triangles. Taking an η-maximal extension of $G_2[X]$ gives an (η, α)-robust subgraph $H_2 \subseteq G_2$ which is not α^4-near-bipartite. At this point, H_1, H_2 satisfy the necessary conditions to form an (η, α)-nice partition. The only thing left to check is that $|H_2| \geq (1/2 + \eta^2)n$.

Suppose $|H_2| < (1/2 + \eta^2)n$ and note that we have $(1/2 + \eta^2)n > |H_2| \geq n - |S| - |T'|$. In particular, this implies

$$(1/2 - 2\eta^2)n \leq |S| \leq |T| \leq (1/2 + 2\eta^2)n \quad \text{and} \quad |H_1| \geq (1 - 4\eta^2)n. \tag{17}$$

The above calculations show that $H_1[S, T]$ is a nearly balanced bipartite graph. We will now show that most vertices have degree greater than $|S|/2$ or $|T|/2$ respectively. This will allow us to construct the desired monochromatic cycle partition directly.



Figure 4: Constructing the monochromatic cycle partition directly.

Let $W' = \{v \in V(H_2) : \deg_2(v, V(H_1) \setminus V(H_2)) \geq \gamma n/4\}$ and note that since H_2 is η-maximal, there are fewer than ηn^2 edges of color 2 between $V(H_2)$ and $V(H_1) \setminus V(H_2)$, and therefore $|W'| \leq \frac{4\eta}{\gamma} n$. Let $S'' = S \cap V(H_2)$ and note that because of the fact that $|V(H_2) \cap T| \geq |T| - |T'| \geq (1/2 - 3\eta^2)n$ and $|H_2| < (1/2 + \eta^2)n$, we have $|S''| < 4\eta^2 n$.

Claim 6.6. *Let $S^* \subseteq S \setminus (S' \cup S'')$ and $T^* \subseteq T \setminus (T' \cup W')$ such that $|S^*| = |T^*| \geq |S| - \gamma/2n$. Then for all $x \in S^*$, $y \in T^*$ there is a Hamiltonian path in $H_1[S^*, T^*]$ having x and y as endpoints (i.e. $H_1[S^*, T^*]$ is Hamiltonian biconnected).*

Proof. Indeed, for all $v \in S^*$, using (17) we have $\deg_2(v, T) \leq \deg_2(v, H_2) + |T'| \leq (\eta + \alpha)n$ and thus

$$\deg_1(v, T^*) \geq (3/4 + \gamma)n - (n - |T^*|) - \deg_2(v, T) \geq (1/4 + \gamma/4)n > |T^*|/2 + 1.$$

For all $v \in T^*$, we have $\deg_2(v, S^*) \leq \gamma n/4$ and thus

$$\deg_1(v, S^*) \geq (3/4 + \gamma)n - (n - |S^*|) - \deg_2(v, S) \geq (1/4 + \gamma/8)n > |S^*|/2 + 1.$$

So let $x \in S^*$ and $y \in T^*$ and apply Lemma 4.1 to $H_1[S^* \setminus \{x\}, T^* \setminus \{y\}]$ to get a Hamiltonian cycle C'. Now using the degree condition there exist consecutive vertices v_i, v_{i+1} on C' such that x is adjacent to v_{i+1} and y is adjacent to v_i, showing that $H_1[S^*, T^*]$ is Hamiltonian biconnected. □

Now we build a path P_1 and a cycle C_2 so that C_2 contains all of the vertices in $H_2 - H_1$ and its deletion leaves $H_1[S, T]$ balanced and so that P_1 contains all of the vertices in $S' \cup S'' \cup T' \cup W'$ and has its endpoints outside of $S' \cup S'' \cup T' \cup W'$. We have that $|S''| \leq 4\eta^2 n$, that $|W'| \leq \frac{4\eta}{\gamma} n$ and that $|S'|, |T'| \leq \alpha n$. Since the the minimum degree in $H_1[S, T]$ is at least $\eta n/2$ and all of the vertices not in $S' \cup S'' \cup T' \cup W'$ satisfy degree conditions from Claim 6.6, we can greedily find a path $P_1 = v_1 \dots v_{2\ell}$ in $H_1[S, T]$ such that (i) $S'' \cup S' \cup W' \cup T' \subseteq V(P_1)$, (ii) $v_1 \in S \setminus (S' \cup S'')$ and $v_{2\ell} \in T \setminus (T' \cup W')$, and (iii) $|P_1| \leq 3|S' \cup S'' \cup T' \cup W'|$ (see Figure 4). For all $v \in V(G) \setminus V(H_1)$, we have by (17) that $\deg_2(v, S) \geq (3/4 + \gamma)n - \eta n - (n - |S|) \geq (1/4 + \gamma/2)n$ and thus $|N_2(v, v') \cap S| \geq \gamma n$ for all $v, v' \in V(G) \setminus V(H_1)$. So we greedily find a blue path P_2 having $|V(G) \setminus V(H_1)|$ vertices in $V(G) \setminus V(H_1)$ and $|V(G) \setminus V(H_1)| - 1$ vertices in $S \setminus V(P_1)$

(with both endpoints in $V(G) \setminus V(H_1)$); note that by (17) we have $|P_2| \leq 2 \cdot 4\eta^2 n - 1 \leq 8\eta^2 n$. Now, use the fact that $G_2[X] \subseteq H_2$ has the $(1, \gamma)$-connecting property to greedily extend P_2 into a cycle C_2 using vertices from $T \setminus V(P_1)$ so that $S^* := S \setminus (\{v_2, \dots, v_{2\ell-1}\} \cup V(C_2))$ and $T^* := T \setminus (\{v_2, \dots, v_{2\ell-1}\} \cup V(C_2))$ satisfy $|S^*| = |T^*|$. Note that by (17) and the bound on $|P_2|$, we have $|T| - (|S \setminus V(P_2)|) \leq 8\eta^2 n$ and thus $|C_2| \leq 8\eta^2 n + |P_2| \leq 16\eta^2 n$. All together, we have

$$|P_1| + |C_2| \leq 3|S' \cup S'' \cup T' \cup W'| + 16\eta^2 n \leq (12\eta^2 + 12\eta/\gamma + 6\alpha + 16\eta^2)n \leq \gamma n/2.$$

So, applying Claim 6.6 we are able to extend P_1 into a cycle C_1 completing the desired monochromatic cycle partition. □

7 From connected matchings to cycles

7.1 Connected matchings

We will need the following preliminary lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *Let n be even and $k \geq 2$, and let G be a k-partite graph on n vertices with the vertex set partitioned as $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k\}$. Suppose that $|X_i| \leq \frac{n}{2}$ for all $i \in [k]$. If $\deg(x) > \frac{3}{4}n - |X_i|$ for all $i \in [k]$ and for all $x \in X_i$, then G is connected and contains a perfect matching.*

Proof. Consider an edge maximal counterexample G. First note that G cannot be a complete k-partite graph (that is, a complete k-partite graph with all part sizes at most $n/2$ must have a perfect matching). Indeed, if G is a complete k-partite graph, let $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_k\} = \{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ such that $|Y_1| \geq \cdots \geq |Y_k|$. Consider a balanced bipartition $\{A_1, A_2\}$ of $V(G)$ which satisfies $Y_1 \subseteq A_1$ and $Y_2 \subseteq A_2$. Suppose there exists a vertex $v \in A_j$ such that $\deg(v, A_{3-j}) \leq |A_{3-j}|/2 = n/4$. This implies that $v \in Y_i$ for some $i \geq 3$ and $|Y_i| > |Y_i \cap A_{3-j}| \geq |A_{3-j}|/2$ which implies $|Y_{3-j}| \leq |A_{3-j}|/2$; however, $|Y_1| \geq |Y_2| \geq |Y_i|$, a contradiction. Thus $\delta(G[A_1, A_2]) > n/4$ which implies $G[A_1, A_2]$ is connected and has a perfect matching (by applying Lemma 4.1.(ii) for instance).

Since our edge maximal counterexample G cannot be complete, adding any edge between parts gives a perfect matching. So without loss of generality, let $v_1 \in X_1$ and $v_2 \in X_2$ such that $e := v_1 v_2 \notin E(G)$ and let M be a perfect matching in $G + e$. For all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, let $M_{i,j}$ be the set of edges in M between X_i and X_j, let $m_{i,j} := |M_{i,j}|$, and let $x_i := |X_i|$. We first deduce some facts about the sizes of the parts based on the matching M.

If we were to delete the vertices of all matching edges inside $X_1 \cup X_2$, the remaining vertices in $X_1 \cup X_2$ must be matched by edges in $M \setminus M_{1,2}$ to vertices in $X_3 \cup \cdots \cup X_k$. Hence

$$x_1 + x_2 - 2m_{1,2} \leq |M| - m_{1,2},$$

which rearranging yields

$$-m_{1,2} \leq |M| - x_1 - x_2. \tag{18}$$

Now remove e and consider v_1 and v_2. If there was an edge $ab \in M - v_1 v_2$ such that $a \in N(v_1)$ and $b \in N(v_2)$, then we would have a perfect matching in G; so suppose not.

This implies that $\deg_{G+e}(v_1, e') + \deg_{G+e}(v_2, e') \leq 2$ for all $e' \in M$, and that $\deg_{G+e}(v_1, e') + \deg_{G+e}(v_2, e') \leq 1$ for all $e' \in M_{1,2} - e$. Using this fact together with (18) and the minimum degree condition we get,

$$\frac{3}{2}n - x_1 - x_2 < \deg_G(v_1) + \deg_G(v_2) \leq (m_{1,2} - 1) + 2(|M| - m_{1,2}) \leq 3|M| - x_1 - x_2 - 1 = \frac{3}{2}n - x_1 - x_2 - 1,$$

a contradiction.

Finally to see that G is connected, let $u, v \in V(G)$. If $u, v \in X_i$ for some $i \in [k]$, then $|N(u) \cap N(v)| > 2(3n/4 - x_i) - (n - x_i) = n/2 - x_i \geq 0$. So suppose $u \in X_i$ and $v \in X_j$ with $i \neq j$. If either v has a neighbor v' in X_i or u has a neighbor $u' \in X_j$, then u and v' have a common neighbor or u' and v have a common neighbor. Otherwise, neither u nor v have neighbors in $X_i \cup X_j$ and thus $|N(u) \cap N(v)| > (3n/4 - x_i) + (3n/4 - x_j) - (n - x_i - x_j) = n/2$. □

The following lemma will be applied in the reduced graph, and for our purposes it is convenient for us to allow an edge to be colored with both red and blue. So recall that $\{E_1, E_2\}$ is a 2-multicoloring of G if $E_1 \cup E_2 = E(G)$ (i.e. we allow for $E_1 \cap E_2 \neq \emptyset$).

Lemma 7.2. *Let G be a graph on n vertices with n even such that $\delta(G) \geq 3n/4$ and let $E_1 \cup E_2$ be a 2-multicoloring of G. For all components $H_1 \subseteq G_1$ and $H_2 \subseteq G_2$, if*

(i) *$|H_1|, |H_2| \geq 3n/4$ and $V(G) = V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)$, or*

(ii) *$|H_i| = n$ and H_{3-i} is the largest component of G_{3-i},*

then G contains a perfect matching $M \subseteq E(H_1) \cup E(H_2)$. Furthermore, if $|H_i| = n$ and $|H_{3-i}| \leq n/2$, then $M \subseteq E(H_i)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose $|H_1| \geq |H_2|$. Let G' be the 2-multicolored graph obtained from G by doing the following to each edge e which has both endpoints in $V(H_i) \setminus V(H_{3-i})$ for some $i \in [2]$: if e is colored with both 1 and 2, remove color $3 - i$; if e is only colored with $3 - i$, then delete e.

Case 1 ($|H_1|, |H_2| \geq 3n/4$ and $V(G) = V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)$). Since $|V(H_i) \setminus V(H_{3-i})| \leq n/4$ for $i \in [2]$, we have $\delta(G') \geq n/2$ and thus Lemma 4.1 (or more simply, Dirac's theorem) implies that G' has a Hamiltonian cycle. The edges of the Hamiltonian cycle lie entirely inside $E(H_1) \cup E(H_2)$ giving us the desired matching.

Case 2 ($|H_1| = n$) Suppose first that G_2 contains a component H_2 such that $|H_2| > n/2$. Every vertex not in H_2 has degree at least $3n/4 - (n/2 - 1) > n/4$ in G' and every vertex in H_2 has degree at least $3n/4$ in G', thus Lemma 4.1 implies that G' has a Hamiltonian cycle, as before.

So let $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ be all of the blue components in G_2 (some may be singletons), and suppose that $|X_i| \leq n/2$ for all $i \in [k]$. Consider the multipartite graph $G'' \subseteq H_1$ consisting only of edges going between the X_i's. Observe that G'' satisfies the conditions of Lemma 7.1: for all $i \in [k]$ and for all $v \in X_i$, we have $\deg_{G''}(v) \geq 3n/4 - (|X_i| - 1)$. Hence we obtain a perfect matching which is contained in $E(H_1)$ (i.e., consisting entirely of red edges). □

Finally we state the lemma which allows us to turn the connected matching in the reduced graph into the cycle in the original graph. Some variant of this lemma, first introduced by

Łuczak [22], has been utilized by many authors ([3], [14], [15], [16], [23]). See Lemma 2.2 in [4] for the variant of Łuczak's lemma which is used to build the nearly spanning paths in each pair (in place of the much stronger blow-up lemma).

Lemma 7.3. *Let $0 < \epsilon \ll d, \rho$ and let Γ be an (ϵ, d)-reduced graph of a 2-colored graph G. Assume that there is a monochromatic connected matching $\mathcal{M}$ saturating at least $c|V(\Gamma)|$ vertices of Γ, for some positive constant c. If $U \subseteq V(G)$ is the set of vertices spanned by the clusters in $\mathcal{M}$, then there is a monochromatic cycle in G covering at least $c(1-6\sqrt{\epsilon})n$ vertices of U. Furthermore, if $R, S \subseteq U$ with $|R|, |S| \geq \rho n$, then there is a monochromatic path in G covering at least $c(1-6\sqrt{\epsilon})n$ vertices of U which has one endpoint in R and the other endpoint in S.*

When we apply Lemma 7.3, there will be an existing path $P^* = v_1 \dots v_k$ having the property that $R = N(v_1) \cap U$ and $S = N(v_k) \cap U$ with $|R|, |S| \geq \eta n \gg \epsilon n$ and thus we can find a path with one endpoint in R and the other endpoint in S giving a cycle which contains P^* as a segment. We refer the reader to Lemma 3.5 in [3] for more details.

7.2 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Proof. Let $\gamma > 0$ be given and choose constants satisfying $\frac{1}{n_0} \ll \epsilon \ll d \ll \rho \ll \alpha \ll \eta \ll \gamma$. Let G be a 2-colored graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $\delta(G) \geq (3/4 + \gamma)n$. Apply Lemma 6.2 to G. Either we directly obtain the desired monochromatic cycle partition in which case we are done, or we obtain an $(8\eta, 8\alpha)$-robust subgraph H_1 with $|H_1| \geq (1 - \eta^{2/3})n$, or we obtain an $(8\eta, 8\alpha)$-nice partition consisting of $(8\eta, 8\alpha)$-robust subgraphs H_1 and H_2. Either way, set $Z = V(G) \setminus V(H_1)$. In the following paragraph, apply statements regarding H_2 only if H_2 has been defined according to the case we are in.

If H_2 exists (i.e. Lemma 4.6.(ii) holds), then without loss of generality, suppose $|H_1| \geq |H_2|$. If H_1 is not α^4-near bipartite, apply Lemma 5.6 to H_1 to get an absorbing path P_1^* with $|P_1^*| \leq \rho n$. By Observation 4.7, $H_2 - P_1^*$ is $(4\eta, 4\alpha)$-robust. If H_1 is α^4-near-bipartite, then by Observation 4.11, H_1 has a spanning bipartite subgraph $H_1[X_1, Y_1]$ with $|X_1| \leq |Y_1|$ which is $(4\eta, 4\alpha)$-robust and by Lemma 6.2 and Definition 6.1, H_2 has the property that H_2 is not α^4-near-bipartite, $|H_2| \geq (1/2 + \eta^2)n$, and $|V(H_2) \cap Y_1| \geq \eta^{1/2}n$. Apply Lemma 5.6 to $H_1[X_1, Y_1]$ to get an absorbing path P_1^* with $|P_1^*| \leq \rho n$, furthermore let $S_1 \subseteq X_1$ and $T_1 \subseteq Y_1 \cap V(H_2)$ such that $|T_1| = \lceil 6\rho^4 n \rceil$ and $|S_1| = \lfloor 2\rho^4 n \rfloor$. Note that the role of the sets S_1, T_1 is to ensure that in the case that H_1 is α^4-near-bipartite, all of the vertices which cannot be absorbed into P_1^* will be in H_2 (which is guaranteed to not be α^4-near-bipartite) and thus can be absorbed by some P_2^* in H_2. The details will be made explicit below. We split the proof into two main cases, essentially corresponding to the two main conclusions of Lemma 6.2.

Case 1 ($|H_1| \geq (1 - \eta^{2/3})n$ and H_1 is not α^4-near bipartite) (See Lemma 6.2.(i)) Note that by Lemma 6.2, the vertices in Z have red degree less than $8\eta n$ to $V(H_1)$ and thus have blue degree at least $(3/4 + \gamma/2)n$ to $V(H_1)$. We further split into cases depending on whether or not $|Z|$ is large enough to apply regularity with Z as an initial part of the partition or not.

Case 1a ($|Z| < 3\rho n$) If $|Z| > 0$, use the fact that the vertices in Z have large blue degree to greedily build a blue path P_2' on exactly $2|Z| - 1 < 6\rho n$ vertices which contains all the vertices of Z, avoids all previously used vertices, and which has both endpoints in Z (if $|Z| = 1$, then P_2' will consist of a single vertex).

Let $G' := G - P_1^* - P_2'$ and let $H_1' := H_1 - P_1^* - P_2'$; note that by Observation 4.7, H_1' is $(2\eta, 2\alpha)$-robust. Note that $\mathcal{Q} = \{V(H_1')\}$ forms a partition of $V(G')$ into sets of size at least $\rho^4 n$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is clearly non-empty.

Apply Lemma 4.14 to get a partition $\{V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_{2k}\}$ of G' respecting the partition $\mathcal{Q}$ and let Γ be the (ϵ, d)-reduced graph on $2k$ vertices as defined in Definition 4.15. By Lemma 4.17, the graph $\mathcal{H}_1$ induced by the clusters inside $V(H_1')$ is connected in Γ_1; note that $V(\mathcal{H}_1) = V(\Gamma)$. Let $\mathcal{H}_2$ be the largest component in Γ_2. By Lemma 4.16 and since each $\mathcal{H}_i$ is maximal, we may apply Lemma 7.2 to get a perfect matching $\mathcal{M}$ which is contained in $E(\mathcal{H}_1) \cup E(\mathcal{H}_2)$; note that if $|\mathcal{H}_2| \leq |\Gamma|/2$, then the matching is entirely red. In this case, we complete the path P_2' into a cycle C_2' by choosing a common neighbor of the endpoints. Otherwise, the subgraph $\hat{H}_2$ in G_2 which contains the clusters from $\mathcal{H}_2$ has order at least $(1/2 - \gamma/2)n$ and thus the endpoints of P_2' have at least $(1/4 + \gamma)n$ neighbors in $\hat{H}_2$. Thus we can apply Lemma 7.3, to get cycles C_1', C_2' (containing P_1^* and P_2' respectively) covering all but at most $6\sqrt{\epsilon}n$ vertices of G'. Denote the leftover vertices of G by W and note that $V_0 \subseteq W$. Since we are in the case where H_1 is not α^4-near-bipartite and all of the vertices from W are contained in H_1, they can be absorbed into P_1^*.

Case 1b ($|Z| \geq 3\rho n$) In this case there are too many vertices in Z to deal with greedily, but enough so that we will be able to apply regularity with Z as an initial part of the partition. However, we still need to deal the leftover vertices from Z in some way. By Chernoff, there exists a set $R \subseteq V(H_1 - P_1^*)$ of size $\rho^4 n$ such that for all $u, v \in Z$, we have $|(N_2(u) \cap N_2(v)) \cap R| \geq (1/2 + \gamma/2)|R|$. Set $G' := G - P_1^* - R$ and $H_1' := H_1 - P_1^* - R$. Note that $\mathcal{Q} = \{V(H_1'), Z\}$ partitions $V(G')$ into sets of size at least $\rho^4 n$ and is clearly non-empty.

Apply Lemma 4.14 to get a partition $\{V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_{2k}\}$ of G' respecting $\mathcal{Q}$ and let Γ be the (ϵ, d)-reduced graph on $2k$ vertices as defined in Definition 4.15. By Lemma 4.17, the graph $\mathcal{H}_1'$ induced by the clusters inside $V(H_1')$ is connected in Γ_1. For $i \in [2]$ let $\mathcal{H}_i$ be the largest component of color i in Γ_i. We have $|\mathcal{H}_1| \geq 3|\Gamma|/4$, and $|\mathcal{H}_2| \geq 3|\Gamma|/4$ as the clusters in Z have blue degree greater than $3|\Gamma|/4$ (by Lemma 4.16). This in particular implies that $V(\mathcal{H}_1') \subseteq V(\mathcal{H}_1)$. By Lemma 4.16 and since each $\mathcal{H}_i$ is maximal, we may apply Lemma 7.2 to get a perfect matching $\mathcal{M}$ which is contained in $E(\mathcal{H}_1) \cup E(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Now we apply Lemma 7.3 to get a red cycle C_1' containing P_1^* and a blue path P_2' with both endpoints in Z covering all but at most $6\sqrt{\epsilon}n$ vertices of G' which we denote by W_0 and note that $V_0 \subseteq W_0$.

Now we use R to greedily complete our blue path P_2' into a cycle C_2 which uses all of the remaining vertices from Z; note that this is possible since every pair of leftover vertices has at least $\gamma|R|/2 \geq \gamma\rho^4 n/2 \gg 6\sqrt{\epsilon}n$ common neighbors in R. Finally, let W be the remaining vertices from W_0 and R, which are all contained in $V(H_1)$ and note that $|W| \leq |R| + |W_0| \leq 6\sqrt{\epsilon}n + \rho^4 n < \rho^3 n$. So all of the remaining vertices can be absorbed into P_1^*, thus completing the cycle partition.

Case 2 ($|H_1| < (1 - \eta^{2/3})n$ or H_1 is α^4-near bipartite) (See Lemma 6.2.(ii)) The first subcase deals with when H_1 is near-bipartite and $|Z|$ is very small (Lemma 6.2.(ii.b)) and the second subcase deals with the case when $|Z|$ is not too small (Lemma 6.2.(ii.a,b)).

Case 2a ($|Z| < 3\rho n$; i.e. $|H_1| > (1 - 3\rho)n$ and H_1 is α^4-near bipartite) If $|Z| > 0$, use the fact that the vertices in Z have large blue degree to greedily build a blue path P_2' on exactly $2|Z| - 1 < 6\rho n$ vertices which contains all the vertices of Z, avoids all previously used vertices, and which has both endpoints in Z (if $|Z| = 1$, then P_2' will consist of a single vertex). Finally,



(a) Case 2a (b) Case 2b

Figure 5: Case 2 in the proof of Theorem 1.5. The dashed lines represent a near-bipartite component.

we find an absorbing path P_2^* in $H_2 - P_2' - P_1^*$. Then we use the the connecting property of H_2 to connect one end of P_2' to one end of P_2^* forming a path $\hat{P}_2$ with one endpoint in H_2 and the other in Z.

Let $G' := G - P_1^* - \hat{P}_2$ and for $i \in [2]$, let $H_i' := H_i - P_1^* - \hat{P}_2$; note that by Observation 4.7, H_i' is $(2\eta, 2\alpha)$-robust. Let $A := V(H_1') \setminus V(H_2')$ and $B := V(H_1') \cap V(H_2')$ (see Figure 5a). If $|A| > \rho^4 n$, then set $W' := \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \{A, B\}$. If $|A| \leq \rho^4 n$, then set $W' := A$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \{B\}$. Finally set $G'' := G' - W'$ and $H_i'' := H_i' - W'$ for $i \in [2]$ and note that H_i'' is (η, α)-robust by Observation 4.7. Note that $\mathcal{Q}$ forms a partition of G'' into sets of size at least $\rho^4 n$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is clearly non-empty.

Apply Lemma 4.14 to get a partition $\{V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_{2k}\}$ of G'' respecting the partition $\mathcal{Q}$ and let Γ be the (ϵ, d)-reduced graph on $2k$ vertices as defined in Definition 4.15. By Lemma 4.17, the graph $\mathcal{H}_i''$ induced by the clusters inside $V(H_i'')$ is connected in Γ_i for $i \in [2]$. For $i \in [2]$ let $\mathcal{H}_i$ be the largest component of color i in Γ_i. So $V(\mathcal{H}_1'') = V(\mathcal{H}_1) = V(\Gamma)$. We also have $|\mathcal{H}_2| > |\Gamma|/2$ (see the discussion preceding Case 1), which in particular implies that and $V(\mathcal{H}_2'') \subseteq V(\mathcal{H}_2)$. By Lemma 4.16 and since each $\mathcal{H}_i$ is maximal, we may apply Lemma 7.2 to get a perfect matching $\mathcal{M}$ which is contained in $E(\mathcal{H}_1) \cup E(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Note that the subgraph $\hat{H}_2$ in G_2 which contains the clusters from $\mathcal{H}_2$ has order at least $n/2$ and contains all but at most ϵn vertices of H_2', and thus the endpoints of $\hat{P}_2$ have at least ηn neighbors in $\hat{H}_2$. Thus we can apply Lemma 7.3, to get cycles C_1', C_2' (containing P_1^* and $\hat{P}_2$ respectively) covering all but at most $6\sqrt{\epsilon} n$ vertices of G''; denote the leftover vertices of G'' by W_0 and note that $V_0 \subseteq W_0$.

Set $W := W' \cup W_0$ and note that $|W| = |W'| + |W_0| \leq \rho^4 n + 6\sqrt{\epsilon} n < \rho^3 n$. Since H_1 is α^4-near-bipartite, let $S_1' \subseteq S_1$ such that $|S_1'| = |W \cap Y_1|$ and let $T_1' \subseteq T_1$ such that $|T_1'| = |(S_1 \setminus S_1') \cup (W \cap X_1)|$. Since $|W \cap Y_1| + |T_1'| = |S_1'| + |(S_1 \setminus S_1') \cup (W \cap X_1)| \leq 4\rho^4 n$, these vertices can be absorbed into P_1^* and the remaining vertices from $(T_1 \setminus T_1') \cup (W \setminus V(H_1))$ can be absorbed into P_2^*.

Case 2b ($|Z| \geq 3\rho n$) If H_2 is not α^4-near-bipartite, apply Lemma 5.6 to $H_2 - P_1^*$ to get an absorbing path P_2^* with $|P_2^*| \leq \rho n$. If H_2 is α^4-near-bipartite, then by Observation 4.11, H_2 has a spanning bipartite subgraph $H_2[X_2, Y_2]$ with $|X_2| \leq |Y_2|$ which is $(4\eta, 4\alpha)$-robust and by Lemma 6.2 and Definition 6.1, H_1 has the property that H_1 is not α^4-near-bipartite and $|V(H_1) \cap Y_2| \geq \eta^{1/2} n$. Apply Lemma 5.6 to $H_2[X_2, Y_2]$ to get an absorbing path P_2^* with $|P_2^*| \leq \rho n$, furthermore let $S_2 \subseteq X_2$ and $T_2 \subseteq Y_2 \cap V(H_1)$ such that $|T_2| = \lceil 6\rho^4 n \rceil$ and $|S_2| = \lfloor 2\rho^4 n \rfloor$.

Now we proceed almost exactly as before. Let $G' := G - P_1^* - P_2^*$ and for $i \in [2]$, let $H_i' := H_i - P_1^* - P_2^* - S_i - T_i$ (where $S_i, T_i \neq \emptyset$ if and only if H_i is α^4-near-bipartite); note that by Observation 4.7, H_i' is $(2\eta, 2\alpha)$-robust. Let $A := V(H_1') \setminus V(H_2')$, $B := V(H_1') \cap V(H_2')$, and $C := V(H_2') \setminus V(H_1')$ (see Figure 5b). If $|A| > \rho^4 n$, then set $W' := \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \{A, B, C\}$. If

$|A| \leq \rho^4 n$, then set $W' := A$, $\mathcal{Q} = \{B, C\}$. Finally set $G'' := G' - W'$ and $H_i'' := H_i' - W'$ for $i \in [2]$ and note that H_i'' is (η, α)-robust by Observation 4.7. Note that $\mathcal{Q}$ forms a partition of G'' into sets of size at least $\rho^4 n$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is clearly non-empty.

Apply Lemma 4.14 to get a partition $\{V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_{2k}\}$ of G'' respecting the partition $\mathcal{Q}$ and let Γ be the (ϵ, d)-reduced graph on $2k$ vertices as defined in Definition 4.15. By Lemma 4.17, the graph $\mathcal{H}_i''$ induced by the clusters inside $V(H_i'')$ is connected in Γ_i for $i \in [2]$. For $i \in [2]$ let $\mathcal{H}_i$ be the largest component of color i in Γ_i. We have $|\mathcal{H}_1| \geq 3|\Gamma|/4$ and $|\mathcal{H}_2| \geq 3|\Gamma|/4$ as the clusters in $V(H_2'') \setminus V(H_1'')$ have degree greater than $3|\Gamma|/4$ (by Lemma 4.16). This in particular implies that $V(\mathcal{H}_i'') \subseteq V(\mathcal{H}_i)$ as $|V(\mathcal{H}_i'')| > |\Gamma|/2$ for $i \in [2]$. By Lemma 4.16 and since each $\mathcal{H}_i$ is maximal, we may apply Lemma 7.2 to get a perfect matching $\mathcal{M}$ which is contained in $E(\mathcal{H}_1) \cup E(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Now we apply Lemma 7.3 to get cycles C_1', C_2' (containing P_1^* and P_2^* respectively) covering all but at most $6\sqrt{\epsilon}n$ vertices of G'' which we denote by W_0 and note that $V_0 \subseteq W_0$.

Set $W := W' \cup W_0$ and note that $|W| = |W'| + |W_0| \leq \rho^4 n + 6\sqrt{\epsilon}n < \rho^3 n$. If we are in the case where H_1 and H_2 are not α^4-near-bipartite, the vertices from W which are contained in H_1 can be absorbed into P_1^* and the remaining vertices from W which are contained in H_2 can be absorbed into P_2^*. If H_1 is α^4-near-bipartite, then let $S_1' \subseteq S_1$ such that $|S_1'| = |W \cap Y_1|$ and let $T_1' \subseteq T_1$ such that $|T_1'| = |(S_1 \setminus S_1') \cup (W \cap X_1)|$. Since $|W \cap Y_1| + |T_1'| = |S_1'| + |(S_1 \setminus S_1') \cup (W \cap X_1)| \leq 4\rho^4 n$, these vertices can be absorbed into P_1^* and the remaining vertices from $(T_1 \setminus T_1') \cup (W \setminus V(H_1))$ can be absorbed into P_2^*. If H_2 is α^4-near-bipartite, then let $S_2' \subseteq S_2$ such that $|S_2'| = |W \cap Y_2|$ and let $T_2' \subseteq T_2$ such that $|T_2'| = |(S_2 \setminus S_2') \cup (W \cap X_2)|$. Since $|W \cap Y_2| + |T_2'| = |S_2'| + |(S_2 \setminus S_2') \cup (W \cap X_2)| \leq 4\rho^4 n$, these vertices can be absorbed into P_2^* and the remaining vertices from $(T_2 \setminus T_2') \cup (W \setminus V(H_2))$ can be absorbed into P_1^*. $\square$

8 Conclusion

After determining the robust structure of the graph, we show that regularity can be applied so that the reduced graph satisfies certain degree conditions which allow us to find a perfect matching. Gyárfás, Sárközy, and Szemerédi [17] proved a stability version of Theorem 1.1 and their proof made use of the "connected matching" approach, but they introduced a method which avoided the use of regularity. It would be interesting to see if their method can be applied here to avoid the use of the regularity; this is part of the reason we proved Lemma 6.2 without the use of regularity.

Erdős, Gyárfás, and Pyber [9] conjectured that every r-colored K_n has a partition into at most r monochromatic cycles. This conjecture was recently disproved for $r \geq 3$ by Pokrovskiy [25], although his examples do have r disjoint monochromatic cycles which together miss only one vertex. Gyárfás, Ruszinkó, Sárközy, and Szemerédi proved that a monochromatic cycle partition can be found with at most $O(r \log r)$ cycles [14] and for $r = 3$, proved that a partition can be found with at most 17 cycles [15]. It would be interesting to determine if a partition can be found with at most 4 cycles for $r = 3$, or even better, a partition with 4 cycles having the extra condition that one of the cycles has order 1. We believe that the methods introduced here could provide an approach to this problem and this is part of the reason that Definition 6.1 is stated for r colors.

9 Acknowledgements

We greatly appreciate the work of the referees. Their careful reading resulted in many improvements to the paper.

References

[1] P. Allen. Covering two-edge-coloured complete graphs with two disjoint monochromatic cycles. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, **17** (2008), no. 4, 471–486.

[2] J. Ayel. Sur l'existence de deux cycles supplémentaires unicolores, disjoints et de couleurs différentes dans un graph complet bicolore, *PhD thesis, Université de Grenoble* (1979).

[3] J. Balogh, J. Barát, D. Gerbner, A. Gyárfás and G. Sárközy. Partitioning 2-edge-colored graphs by monochromatic paths and cycles, *Combinatorica*, **34** no. 5, (2014), 507–526.

[4] F. Benevides, T. Łuczak, J. Skokan, A. Scott, and M. White. Monochromatic cycles in 2-coloured graphs, *Combinatorics, Probability, and Computing*, **21** no. 1-2, (2012), 57–87.

[5] S. Bessy and S. Thomassé. Partitioning a graph into a cycle and an anticycle, a proof of Lehels conjecture. *J. Combin. Theory, Series B* **100** (2010), no. 2, 176–180.

[6] H. Chernoff, A measure of asymptotic efficiency for tests of a hypothesis based on the sum of observations. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* **23** no. 4, (1952), 493–507.

[7] V. Chvátal. On Hamilton's ideals. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **12** (1972), 163–168.

[8] D. Conlon, J. Fox, B. Sudakov, Cycle packing, *Random Structures & Algorithms*, **45** no. 4 (2014), 608–626.

[9] P. Erdős, A. Gyárfás, and L. Pyber. Vertex coverings by monochromatic cycles and trees, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B*, **51** (1991), 90–95.

[10] R. Faudree, L. Lesniak, and I. Schiermeyer. On the circumference of a graph and its complement, *Discrete Mathematics* **309** (2009), 5891–5893.

[11] R. Faudree and R. Schelp. All Ramsey numbers for cycles in graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* **8** (1974), 313–329.

[12] L. Gerencsér and A. Gyárfás. On Ramsey-type problems, *Ann. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math*, **10** (1967), 167–170.

[13] A. Gyárfás. Vertex coverings by monochromatics paths and cycles. *J. Graph Theory*, **7** (1983), 131–135.

[14] A. Gyárfás, M. Ruszinkó, G. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi. An improved bound for the monochromatic cycle partition number, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, **96** (2006), 855–873.

[15] A. Gyárfás, M. Ruszinkó, G. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi. Partitioning 3-colored complete graphs into three monochromatic cycles, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, **18** (2011), #P53.

[16] A. Gyárfás and G. Sárközy. Star versus two stripes Ramsey numbers and a conjecture of Schelp. *Combinatorics, Probability, and Computing*, **21** (2012), 179–186.

[17] A. Gyárfás, G. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi. Stability of the path-path Ramsey number, *Discrete Mathematics* **309** (2009), 4590–4595.

[18] J. Komlós and M. Simonovits. Szemerédi's regularity lemma and its applications in graph theory. *Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies 2, Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty (Vol. 2)*, Budapest (1996), 295–352.

[19] D. Kühn and D. Osthus. Embedding large subgraphs into dense graphs, arXiv:0901.3541, (2009).

[20] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, and A. Treglown. Hamiltonian degree sequences in digraphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* **100**, no. 4 (2010), 367–380.

[21] S. Letzter. Monochromatic cycle partitions of 2-coloured graphs with minimum degree $3n/4$. arXiv:1502.07736, (2015).

[22] T. Łuczak. $R(C_n, C_n, C_n) < (4 + o(1))n$, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, **75** no. 2, (1999), 174 – 187.

[23] T. Łuczak, V. Rödl, and E. Szemeredi. Partitioning two-colored complete graphs into two monochromatic cycles. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, **7** (1998), 423–436.

[24] I. Levitt, G. Sárközy and E. Szemerédi, How to avoid using the Regularity Lemma: Pósa's conjecture revisited, *Discrete Math.* **310** (2010), 630–641.

[25] A. Pokrovskiy, Partitioning edge-coloured complete graphs into monochromatic cycles and paths, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* **106** (2014), 70–97.

[26] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi. A Dirac-type theorem for 3-uniform hypergraphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **15** (2006), 229–251.

[27] V. Rosta. On a Ramsey-type problem of J.A. Bondy and P. Erdős II, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* **15** (1973), 105–120.

[28] R. Schelp, Some Ramsey–Turán type problems and related questions. *Disc. Math.*, **312** (2012), 2158–2161.

[29] E. Szemerédi. Regular Partitions of Graphs. *Colloques Internationaux C.N.R.S - Problèmes Combinatoires et Théorie des Graphes*, **260** (1976), 399–401.